## TOD and Metro: Roles for Transit, Citizens, and Local Government



DCRA TOD Seminar, October 24, 2006 Nat Bottigheimer, WMATA

### Today's Talk

Framing the challenge
Metro and the region
Affected communities
Local government

Addressing challenges

## **Benefits of Transit**

 Rail removes 600,000 cars/day from our roads; 75 million gallons of gas/year; 10,000 tons of air pollution
 Eliminates the need for 1,400 highway lane miles

### Characteristics of Transit-Oriented Development

Fairfax County Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) Committee
Compact and walkable
Not dominated by the car
Safe & attractive
Complements surroundings
Contains a mix of uses
It's not any one single thing...





## **Benefits of TOD**

Quality of Life Increased Mobility Choice Reduced Congestion Conservation of Land and Open Space Health Benefits Enhanced Sense of Community Economic & Social Benefits Jobs-Housing Balance Redevelopment Opportunities

### Framing the Challenge

From last week's Otis White's Urban Notebook:

"How does adding large numbers of people to a neighborhood benefit those who are already there? It is...the question that haunts smart growth and, if unanswered, threatens to derail the entire movement.

http://www.governing.com/notebook.htm

# Why WMATA Interest?

Generally, to increase ridership and revenue, while Minimizing stresses at peak Increasing off-peak travel Increasing contra flow travel Improving farebox recovery Increasing traveler choices Support infrastructure investment

## WMATA Roles

Station access plans Station area plans Joint development Participation in local and regional planning processes Testimony Information sharing (e.g., TPB, community meetings)

#### How is TOD of Interest to Communities?

Improved quality of life...it's not just about transportation Increased safety, certainty, independence, options, and health for everyone... ....but seniors, kids, and disabled particularly ▶ Reduced traffic Increased values

#### What Community Issues Does TOD Raise?

Competing views of community character
Apprehension about TOD
Imposition of outside vision
Loss of control & uncertainty
Disruption
Parking and traffic

#### **Community Responses**

- Community concerns are legitimate and paramount
- Burden of proof on "change agents" to assure projects meet community needs
- Openness to explore options that meet both public and community need
- Ability to articulate general conditions under which projects can take place
  - Avoid starting conversations with specific solutions
  - Just because an issue is first defined as a transportation problem, doesn't mean a transportation solution is needed...expand conversations

### **Local Government and TOD**

Promotes revitalization
Strengthens and diversifies tax base
Reduces incremental traffic impacts of growth
Can bring urban-style amenities and more transportation choices to suburbs

#### **Local Government Roles**

 Planning, Zoning, Development Review
 Depending on jurisdiction: streetscapes, transit, signals, parks
 Potential to identify issues that other public agencies can address (e.g., State DOT, school district, etc.)

## **Addressing Challenges**

Fairfax County TOD Committee Tyson's Task Force Franconia-Springfield Station Area Planning Largo Town Center SAP TPB's Transportation Land Use Connection (TLC) initiative for smart growth planning Metro's Joint Development Task Force

#### **Partnership Approaches**

Broader scale visioning--not just transit land
Agencies with policy interests take leadership roles
All key stakeholders deeply involved in analysis
Resources targeted to areas of greatest uncertainty

#### **Lessons Learned**

Yielding control yields results
Accommodating multiple interests in a bound space yields TOD outcomes
Bringing planning and implementation together is critical to success
"Planning" means different things to different people...
Listen carefully...for meaning and

opportunities

### **Online Resources**

- http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/planning/tod.htm http://www.mncppc.org/cpd/PDFs/westhyattsvillefin al.pdf
- http://www.mncppc.org/cpd/PDFs/New\_Carrollton\_T OD\_Study.pdf

Sfile/25-25

http://www.mncppc.org/cpd/central\_avenue\_ppt.htm http://www.wmata.com/bus2bus/jd/jointdev.cfm

hce/boilerplat

## TOD and Metro: Roles for Transit, Citizens, and Local Government



DCRA TOD Seminar, October 24, 2006 Nat Bottigheimer, WMATA

#### **Process-based TOD Checklist**

360 degree stakeholder involvement? Have interests been clearly drawn out and articulated in a problem-solving atmosphere? Have trade-offs been explicitly addressed? Have planning and implementation tools been used to resolve issues, as opposed to being ends in themselves?

Has the area been viewed as a system?

Have problems in one dimension been solved in another dimension?

 Land value – flood plain; pedestrian safety vs. intersection throughput

Can all stakeholders see their contribution?

## **Checklist (more)**

Walk-arounds? What is the place telling you? Interactive visualization? Pedestrian and biking needs balanced with vehicles? Simultaneous vs. linear problemsolving? Economic analysis (market, finance...) Green elements considered? LEED?

### **Challenges of Partnership**

Many cooks are needed, but who's the chef?

Who has the lead and at what point?
 Lead agencies have to balance their goals, values, perspectives, and tools with those of others, and dedicate resources for all

Partners and stakeholders need to be given meaningful role in project and problem definition, and in project management

A big step into the void...