FILED

Dulles Rail Federal Complaint

AUG - 6 2009

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Clerk, U.S. District and Bankruptcy Courts

2 3	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA/FORTS	HE LASTERN DISTRICT OF
4		
5	Parkridge 6, LLC) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	10740 Parkridge Blvd., Reston, VA 20191)
7)
8	Dulles Corridor Users Group)
9	Plaintiffs,)
10		Case: 1:09-cv-01478 Assigned To : Kessler, Gladys
11	v.	Assigned 10 Research Assign. Date: 8/6/2009 Description: Admn. Agency Review
12)
13	United States Department of)
14	Transportation (USDOT))
15	1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington, DC 20590)
16)
17	Ray LaHood, Secretary of Transportation)
18	1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington, DC 20590)
19)
20	Peter M. Rogoff, Administrator)
21	Federal Transit Administration)
22	1200 New Jersey Ave, SE, Washington, DC 20590)
23)

24	Jeffrey Paniati, Acting Deputy)
25	Administrator of FHWA)
26	1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington, DC 20590)
27)
28	Roberto Fonseca-Martinez, Division)
29	Administrator of FHWA, Virginia Division,)
30	400 North 8th Street, Room 750, Richmond, VA 23219)
31		
32	Pierce R. Homer, Secretary of Transportation)
33	Commonwealth of Virginia)
34	1111 East Broad Street, 3d Floor, Richmond, VA 23219)
35	James Bennett, President and CEO)
36	Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority)
37	1 Aviation Circle)
38	Washington, DC 20001)
39	c. in PL	14/11/
40		
41	INTRODUCTION	<u>ON</u>
42	1. This lawsuit is a challenge to current pl	ans for airport access to the two
43	Virginia airports filed by both an affected landown	ner and a citizen advocacy group,
44	and a suggestion for a new approach to some of th	e most vexing transportation issues
45	in the Washington, DC Metro area.	

2. 46 For more than four decades, our local highway system has been starved for investment, in part due to the insatiable appetite of the heavy rail system operated by 47 WMATA since 1976. More than half the region's transportation dollars have been, 48 49 and are currently, spent on transit. However, the demand share, measured by payload-50 miles (either passenger or freight) satisfied by transit is less than 3% region wide. 3. 51 Despite this huge investment, the heavy rail system is falling apart and 52 local governments have shown little inclination to stump up the maintenance money. 53 Yet, incredibly, they want to expand the money losing operation. And they want to 54 expand it in a way which has proven unsatisfactory. They also want to replicate the 55 disfunctional design of inner I-66, the most troublesome highway segment of its kind 56 in the U.S., all the way out to Dulles Airport—another 13 miles. The total corridor study area is 23 miles, but has never been systematically analyzed as needs to happen 57 58 for the correct result (See Appendix A, I-405 corridor study, as an example). 4. 59 On March 10, 2009, the federal government and the Metropolitan 60 Washington Airports Authority entered into a full funding grant agreement to 61 jumpstart the moribund Dulles Rail project, which had been kicking around for 45 62 years. Time and technology has passed it by, and superior but unexamined alternatives 63 are available. The full funding grant agreement has not been issued in response to a 64 proper application of law and regulation, but in response to political pressure directed 65 by special interests.

5. 66 The current plans will cost \$20 billion (including financing interest and operating deficit funding) over the 40 years the Federal Transit Administration uses as 67 68 a useful life of such projects. According to the voluminous studies that have been 69 done over the years, which have been formally adopted in the Final Environmental Impact Statement for this project issued in December 2004, the project will not reduce 70 congestion on either the affected corridor (Route 267) or any of the arterial or local 71 72 roads surrounding Route 267. (See Exhibit B). In fact, the latest traffic projections 73 show that overall, combining the performance of untolled segments and tolled 74 segments (which toll exists only to fund Dulles Rail), both the highway system and 75 transit will perform worse than if Dulles Rail were never built. The \$20 billion, in 76 short, is worse than a complete waste of money. 77 6. The planned travel speed for both rail and general purpose travel on Route 78 267 are projected at 20-30 miles per hour for many hours of the day. (See Exhibit D). 79 The rail system is projected to take one hour ten minutes to reach the edge of the DC 80 core (a distance of 23 miles) from Dulles Airport, including the time for traveling 1/5 81 mile from the check-in or baggage claim area at Dulles and waiting for the next train. 82 The stop count for through travel is 15 stops to Rosslyn, the last stop prior to D.C., at 83 which point the new Silver Line will be competing with the existing Orange and Blue 84 Lines for the already maxed out capacity in the Rosslyn tunnel. Because the Rosslyn 85 tunnel is already at capacity, service on the Orange and Blue lines, including rail access to Reagan Airport, will be degraded. 80 minutes for 23 miles is less than 20 86

- 87 miles per hour. This is not competitive service by today's international airport
- 88 standards.
- The sole reason MWAA is involved in the project is the reluctance of local
- 90 politicians to be on record in imposing the high burden of taxation on users of the Toll
- Road, which will average double digit figures per trip when the system is complete.
- and the desire by local leaders to evade the Virginia constitutional requirement to seek
- local voter approval for general obligation bonds for the local (Fairfax and Loudoun
- County) portion of the project cost. However, Virginia law prohibits the imposition of
- a tax on toll road users on paid projects, inasmuch as MWAA is not a local
- 96 government with elective leadership which has been granted the power to levy taxes
- 97 under the Virginia Constitution.
- 98 8. Far faster and more cost effective alternatives exist to provide superior
- 99 airport service and reduce congestion for the areas surrounding the Dulles Airport
- 100 Access Road and Route 267.
- 101 9. The failed idea behind the currently considered plan is to expand the
- disastrous design of inner I-66, the most poorly functioning highway segment of its
- type in the entire U.S. It will be even worse than the current I-66, which is hopelessly
- 104 congested. The plan for Route 267 advanced by MWAA will toll all users of Route
- 105 267 for all hours. (At least, I-66 is a free highway.)
- 106 10. Because the rail promotion has turned into a bureaucratic nightmare,
- intervention of the court system to enforce the proper scope of operations of the

various entities is necessary. The Commonwealth of Virginia has transferred for no
consideration its easement on Route 267 to MWAA, which proposes to build a system
to the specifications of WMATA, which will then operate it. All this activity must
meet the lease obligations of the Federal Aviation Administration for the Access Road
right of way. Fairfax County Economic Development Authority has intervened as the
"guarantor" of \$1 billion in Fairfax County debt, without proper justification. The
federal Fine Arts Commission will review the design of the system at the Airport end
to ensure its compatibility with the Saarinen Terminal, a recognized historic
landmark. The result of this review will undoubtedly be the requirement of an
expensive tunnel at the airport, driving up the cost another \$1 billion. All along the
way, large fees are being generated. The entire current infrastructure of Route 267 and
the inner lanes (the DAAR) was put into place for less than \$200 million. More than
\$220 million has already been spent just on planning for the rail project. Very little of
required coordination has occurred, and the ultimate cost is huge, not just for the
defined project, but in time wasted in the future due to inadequate planning. For
example, no proper incident management is possible with the planned roadway
configuration. Incidents account for ½ of overall highway delays on the average.
11. This porkfest has gone on too long by parties who have ignored the law in
favor of interested parties who want to receive fees. It is time to look at a better plan
for Route 267, the inner lanes, and inner I-66, so that the two Washington airports can
operate as a unit, which was the purpose of setting up MWAA in the first place.

129 12. This can be done by granting plaintiff's request of injunctive and
130 declaratory relief. At that point, access to two Virginia airports can be restudied to
131 bring them up to the standards of its competitors worldwide. At the same time,
132 congestion can be reduced for non airport traffic.

<u>PARTIES</u>

Parkridge 6 LLC is a Virginia LLC owning property adjoining Route 267, and has been paying taxes to Fairfax County generally and to the special tax district for Phase I of Dulles Rail in which it involuntarily found itself. It meets all the standing requirements normally articulated for actual injury. Parkridge 6 is being taxed at a higher rate than surrounding properties solely because of the imposition, in violation of the required procedures listed below, of the rail scheme. Its business invitees continue to pay tolls for access, despite the requirements of Virginia law that such tolls cease. This case involves a real case and controversy in that Plaintiffs are requesting refunds of taxes and the order quashing further collection of these taxes for itself and similarly situated landowners.

14. The Dulles Corridor Users Group is a Virginia non-stock corporation civic advocacy group set up to monitor and advance the orderly and prosperity producing development of the Dulles Corridor. The Dulles Corridor is the largest business destination in Virginia and one of the largest in the U.S. It is in danger of being taxed to death with the diversion of this tax money going to redevelop Tysons Corner. Some of the tax money will also go to subsidize the money losing rail venture. The rail

150	venture is so uneconomic that it will account for only a 3 ½ % increase in WMATA
151	patronage, despite adding 22% to the mileage of the system. Its projected annual new
152	ridership is 47,800 in 2025, which is less than a just in time car sharing operation,
153	unsubsidized by any government, carries today along the Shirley Highway (I-395
154	south of Washington). (Since no pork is involved in ridesharing, no lobbying money
155	is involved and this alternative was not studied.)
156	15. Dulles Airport was designed and delivered as the first planned airport for
157	jets. That was fifty years ago. Many mistakes were made. For example, Dulles was
158	planned with no parking! Today is a good opportunity to bring Dulles up to
159	international standards and correct ground access to provide interconnections between
160	Dulles and National in ½ hour by ground, any hour of the day or night, by any
161	vehicle.
162	16. At the same time, proper leadership by MWAA and VDOT could solve
163	the inner I-66 problem, recognized as the worst performing highway segment in this
164	area. This result is overdue.
165	17. The story of how this region ended up with this sorry boondoggle is
166	summarized below. It has been an interbureaucratic nightmare that has run roughshood
167	over at least one dozen laws and regulations.
168	18. Review by the federal courts, and correction of the irregularities that
169	have led to this situation, is necessary.

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

District of Columbia

19. Jurisdiction and venue in the D.C. federal courts is founded on D.C. Code §9-1004 (e) which provides that "the district courts of the United States shall have jurisdiction to compel the Airports Authority and its offices and employees to comply with the terms of the lease. An action may be brought on behalf of the United States by the Attorney General, or by any aggrieved party." 20. Several counts deal with violations of the 1987 lease between the Federal Government and MWAA. In addition, several counts allege improper compliance with necessary federal statutes and regulations, in particular 49 U.S.C.S. § 5309, which deals with Capital Investment grants of the U.S. government for public transportation. As such, jurisdiction is allowable under 28 U.S.C. §1331 which provides that the district courts have original jurisdiction of "all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States." With respect to requests for declaratory judgment, under the Declaratory Judgment Act a district court, in a case or controversy otherwise within its jurisdiction, "may declare the rights and other legal relations of any interested party seeking such declaration, whether or not further

relief is or could be sought. 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a)

With respect to the treatment of claims of disregarded Virginia state law based claims, the United States District Court has discretion to deal with these issues under the pendant issue doctrine.

194 <u>Virginia</u>

- 195 22. The Eastern District of Virginia federal court has jurisdiction and venue 196 for all the claims made in this complaint, under the following statutes: Va. Code §5.1-
- 197 173 A and D.C. Code § 9-922 (a). The language is identical:
- 198 "The courts of the Commonwealth of Virginia shall have original jurisdiction over all
- actions brought by or against the Authority, which courts shall in all cases apply the
- 200 law of the Commonwealth of Virginia."
- 201 23. With respect to the claims arising under federal law and administrative
- 202 procedure, again a Virginia court has jurisdiction to notice and apply non-state law to
- the applicable claims under the doctrine of pendent jurisdiction.
- 204 24. The leading case on pendent jurisdiction is *United Mine Workers of*
- 205 America v. Gibbs, 383 U.S. 715 (1966). Gibbs has been read to require that (1) there
- 206 must be a federal claim (whether from the Constitution, federal statute, or treaty) and
- 207 (2) the non-federal claim arises "from a common nucleus of operative fact" such that a
- 208 plaintiff "would ordinarily be expected to try them in one judicial proceeding."
- 209 25. The holding in *Gibbs* has been essentially codified by Congress along
- with ancillary jurisdiction in 28 USC 1367, its supplementary jurisdiction statute.

211	The lease of the two airports to MWAA in March, 1987 contains this
212	language as to jurisdiction:
213	Article 28, Law of Agreement.
214	"This lease shall be governed by and construed in accordance with federal law. To the
215	extent that the application of federal law requires or permits the application or
216	consideration of state law, the parties agree that the law of the Commonwealth of
217	Virginia is the most relevant to this lease and shall be applied or considered. The
218	powers of the Secretary with respect to this lease shall be construed in accordance
219	with and governed by Federal law, and the powers of the Airports Authority with
220	respect to this lease shall be construed in accordance with and governed by Virginia
221	law."
222	27. In view of the multiplicity of sources for jurisdiction and venue, and
223	mindful of the possible importance of the "home circuit rule", this action is being filed
224	simultaneously in the U.S. Courts in D.C. and Virginia (Eastern District).
225	
226	HISTORY
227	Factual Background
228	28. During the second term of the Eisenhower presidency, there was a general
229	consensus that the D.C. area needed another airport beyond the land locked National
230	Airport in Alexandria. A further impetus for this decision was the imminent arrival

- into regular service of jet aircraft. Such equipment needed longer runways for
- operations, and was noisier than conventional piston aircraft.
- 233 29. Congress passed the Washington Airport Act of 1950, providing federal
- backing for a second airport. After preliminary proposals failed, including one to
- establish an international airport at what is now Burke Lake Park, the current site was
- selected by President Dwight Eisenhower in 1958. As a result of the selection, the
- former unincorporated community of Willard which once stood in the airport's current
- 238 footprint, was torn down
- 239 30. The western site was selected, in large part because it offered the
- 240 possibility of more land for extended length runways, additional runways, and a noise
- buffer. Dulles, at 11,800 acres, is larger than either National (860 acres) or Baltimore
- 242 Washington International Airport, at (3,200) acres.
- 243 31. The new airport was named after Eisenhower's Secretary of State, John
- Foster Dulles. The agency responsible for implementing the plan was the Federal
- Aviation Authority, chaired at that time by Najeeb Halaby who later became CEO of
- 246 Pan American.
- 247 32. The land on which Dulles airport was built was almost entirely rural at
- 248 that time. Those landowners who could not reach a price accommodation with the
- 249 federal government were condemned out, at prices averaging \$900 per acre.
- 250 33. Given the rural location of the new airport, access needed to be provided.
- A rail link to D.C. was one option, but was ruled out because the \$150 million cost

- 252 was deemed excessive. This would have amounted to \$7 million per mile. The plan
- currently being considered carries a construction cost of about \$6 billion for 13 miles
- 254 (allocating \$1 billion for the west of airport, Loudoun stops). This is \$360 million per
- 255 mile to build a project which loses money at the rate of \$120 million a year from day
- 256 one.
- 257 34. A 400 foot wide strip of land was reserved for Airport Access from the
- interchange with what was to become I-66 to Route 28 in Loudoun County, just east
- of the airport. The Dulles Airport Access Road ("DAAR") was built as a two lane
- 260 divided highway and was available when Dulles Airport opened in 1962.
- 261 35. On September 7, 1950, Congress passed the Second Washington Airport
- Act to provide for "the construction, protection, operation and maintenance of a public
- 263 airport in or in the vicinity of the District of Columbia." Ch. 905, Pub. L. 64 Stat. 770.
- 264 36. Construction commenced on September 2, 1958.
- 265 37. The Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") and the local communities
- 266 worked together to select four tentative routes for the proposed access highway. After
- several public hearings, the current 16-mile route from Dulles to Falls Church,
- 268 Virginia was selected.
- The first 13.5 miles of the access road was constructed by the FAA and
- opened in 1962, connecting Dulles airport to Interstate 495 (the "Beltway"). The
- 271 remaining 2.5 miles, constructed under the supervision of the Federal Highway

- 272 Administration for the FAA, opened on November 30, 1983 connecting the airport
- 273 directly to the recently completed Interstate 66 ("I-66").
- The entire 16-mile road is known as the Dulles Airport Access Highway
- 275 ("DAAH"). The DAAH was originally limited to airport traffic only and had no exits
- west of the Beltway, other than direct access to the airport at the western terminus of
- the road.
- 278 40. The airport was completed in 1962 and dedicated on November 17, 1962,
- as Dulles International Airport. In 1984, it was renamed Washington Dulles
- 280 International Airport ("Dulles").
- As Fairfax and Loudoun counties grew, the need for access to points along
- the DAAH increased, but the DAAH itself provided no access to local residents
- 283 because it is restricted to airport users only.
- To address this problem the United States, through the FAA, Department
- of Transportation, the Director of the Metropolitan Washington Airports and the
- 286 Commonwealth of Virginia ("The Commonwealth") entered into an agreement, dated
- July 6, 1981 ("1981 Agreement"), to construct a new outer section of the DAAH in
- 288 the existing right-of-way.
- 289 43. Under the 1981 Agreement, the new section of the DAAH was to run
- 290 from Spring Hill Road in Fairfax eastward to a point adjacent to the right-of-way for
- 291 the then uncompleted I-66.

- 292 44. The Commonwealth also agreed to operate and maintain certain sections
- of the DAAH if those sections were not restricted to airport users only. In practical
- terms, this meant the new section of the DAAH would have exit ramps for local
- commuters. This new section for local users is known as the Dulles Toll Road
- 296 ("DTR") and has been operating since 1984.
- 297 45. The FAA agreed to grant an easement to the Commonwealth over a
- portion of the DAAH right-of-way, but retained title to the land. In exchange, the
- 299 Commonwealth agreed to accept responsibility for all maintenance, operation and
- 300 policing of the DAAH right-of-way.
- A deed of easement was granted by the FAA on behalf of the United
- States, to the Commonwealth on January 10, 1983 ("First Easement"). The First
- Easement terminates by its own terms in 2082, or sooner if certain contingencies
- 304 occur.
- The First Easement required DTR to be three lanes in each direction
- between I-495 and Route 7 and two lanes in each direction between Route 7 and
- 307 Route 28.
- 308 48. On November 23, 1983, the United States granted a second Deed of
- 309 Easement ("Second Easement") to the Commonwealth to clarify that, despite an
- existing dispute between the FAA and the Commonwealth over the exclusivity of
- 311 legislative jurisdiction with respect to the DTR, the Commonwealth did have "the

- 312 requisite authority to operate, maintain and police the easement and the highway
- 313 constructed in the easement."
- On December 21, 1984, the United States, through the FAA, and the
- 315 Commonwealth entered into a Maintenance Agreement that outlined the
- Commonwealth's responsibilities with respect to maintaining the DTR.
- 317 50. Shortly thereafter, the Metropolitan Washington Airport Authority
- 318 ("MWAA") was created by a compact between the Commonwealth and the District of
- 319 Columbia. The Commonwealth and the District of Columbia enacted essentially
- 320 identical statutes with respect to the creation of the MWAA. The code sections for
- 321 these statutes are Va. Code Ann. § 5.1-152 et seq. and D.C. Code § 9-901 et seq.
- 322 respectively.
- 323 51. Congress granted its consent to the creation of the MWAA in the
- Metropolitan Washington Airport Act of 1986. Title VI of Public Law 99-591,
- codified at 49 U.S.C. § 4901, et seq. ("Transfer Act"). The Transfer Act further
- authorized the transfer of operational responsibility of Dulles, including the DAAH,
- 327 under a long-term lease to the compact.
- 328 52. Congress placed several conditions on the authority of the Secretary of
- 329 Transportation to enter into a lease with the MWAA. The MWAA would be required
- to have powers granted to it by the Commonwealth and the District of Columbia, but
- would remain an independent political subdivision constituted solely to operate the
- local airports. The MWAA would have a Board of Directors [[1]]. The lease was

- required to contain a provision providing for the annual payment of \$3 million, in
- inflation adjusted 1987 dollars, to the United States Treasury by MWAA. Finally, the
- 335 MWAA must have a Board of Review to be comprised entirely of members of
- 336 Congress.
- The United States and the MWAA entered into a lease dated March 2,
- 338 1987, and effective June 7, 1987 (the "Lease"), transferring operational responsibility
- of Dulles Airport to the MWAA. The DAAH and its right-of-way are specifically
- mentioned in the Lease, but the DTR is not. It is unclear whether the language
- pertaining to the right-of-way is intended to include the DTR.
- 342 54. The term of the Lease, originally fifty years from its effective date, was
- extended to eighty years from its effective date by an Amendment dated April 30,
- 344 2003 ("Amendment No. 3"). Thus, the Lease is set to expire on or about June 7,
- 345 2067. The Lease provides that upon it expiration, the MWAA will "give up,
- 346 surrender and deliver to the Secretary [of Transportation] the Leased Premises
- 347 together with all buildings, structures and improvements thereon (as the same may
- 348 have been altered or replaced)."
- 349 55. The United States retains title and ownership over the land upon which the
- 350 DTR is built.
- 351 56. By Deed of Easement dated January 9, 1990 ("1990 Easement"), the
- 352 MWAA conveyed additional land to the Commonwealth to widen the DTR. The

353	DTR now consists of 4 lanes in each direction, although not built to federally
354	compliant standards for highways of its design speed (see below).
355	
356	
357	
358	Giving Away the DTR
359	57. On July 26, 2005, the Virginia Department of Transportation ("VDOT")
360	received an unsolicited proposal from the Dulles Corridor Mobility Consortium
361	("DCMC") to privatize operation of the DTR. The DCMC proposal sought a
362	concession agreement to collect tolls, operate, maintain and improve the DTR.
363	The DCMC proposal was submitted to VDOT pursuant to the Public-
364	Private Transportation Act of 1995 ("PPTA"), Va. Code Ann. §§ 56-557, et seq. The
365	PPTA was enacted based on the General Assembly's finding that the timely
366	development and operation of the Commonwealth's transportation systems could
367	potentially be handled more efficiently by authorizing private entities to develop or
368	operate such systems.
369	59. Pursuant to the PPTA's implementation guidelines, VDOT published a
370	notice on July 28, 2005, accepting for consideration the DCMC proposal and inviting
371	other private firms to submit competing proposals by October 28, 2005.
372	In response to this public notice, VDOT received four additional
373	proposals

- On December 7, 2005, VDOT announced that four of the five proposals
- were selected to advance to the Independent Review Panel ("IRP") phase of
- consideration, done by the Commonwealth Transportation Board.
- Before the IRP could complete its evaluation of these four proposals, the
- 378 MWAA submitted a proposal to VDOT. The MWAA proposal was received in
- December 20, 2005, well after the deadline of October 28, 2005, and was
- subsequently updated on January 17, 2006.
- The MWAA proposal states that its proposal "is not intended to be part of
- the [PPTA evaluation] process: it is an alternative solution." The MWAA's rationale
- for not being subject to the PPTA process is not explained in either the December 20
- 384 or January 17 proposal.
- Despite the lateness of the MWAA's submission, VDOT announced on
- February 8, 2006, that it was suspending evaluation of the other proposals for up to 45
- days to provide it with an opportunity to review the MWAA proposal independent of
- 388 the other proposals.
- 389 65. Under the MWAA proposal, the Commonwealth would relinquish its right
- 390 to control the DTR. The MWAA would then own and operate the DTR and utilize the
- revenue stream from the DTR to fund extension of the Metrorail system to Dulles.
- 392 66. MWAA and the Commonwealth, through its Secretary of Transportation,
- entered into a Memorandum of Understanding on March 28, 2006 ("MOU"). The

- parties to the MOU agreed that the Commonwealth would transfer possession and control of the DTR and all its improvements to the MWAA.
- The parties also agreed that the MWAA would assume all operational,
- maintenance, toll setting, debt and financial responsibility for the DTR. The MWAA
- further agreed to develop necessary agreements between it and the Washington
- 399 Metropolitan Area Transit Authority ("WMATA") to design and construct the Dulles
- 400 Corridor Metrorail Project ("Project"). MWAA would also develop local funding
- agreements with non-federal partners and that the MWAA would be obligated to
- design and construct Phases 1 and 2 of the Project.
- 403 68. WMATA is an interstate compact created to plan, develop, build, finance
- and operate the regional transit system for Washington D.C. metropolitan area. This
- transit system, known generally as the Metro, consists of the nation's second largest
- rail transit system ("Metrorail") and the nation's fifth largest bus network
- 407 ("Metrobus").
- 408 69. Phase I of the Project ("Phase I") of the Dulles Rail project is designed to
- complete the first 11.6 miles of the planned extension of the Metrorail to Wiehle
- 410 Avenue in Reston, Virginia. Phase I is proposed to include new stations at Tysons
- East, Tysons Central 123, Tysons Central 7, Tysons West, and Wiehle Avenue, and
- improvements to the rail yard at the West Falls Church Station. Service to Wiehle
- 413 Avenue was originally scheduled to begin in 2011.

434

414	70. Phase II of the Project ("Phase II") is designed to extend Metrorail's
415	Silver line from Wiehle Avenue westward to Route 722/Ryan Road in Loudon
416	County. Six new stations, Reston Parkway, Herndon-Monroe, Route 28, Dulles
417	Airport, Route 606 and Route 722, and a new rail yard on Dulles Airport property are
418	proposed in this phase. Phase II was originally scheduled for completion in 2015.
419	71. Under the MOU, the Commonwealth agreed to transfer funds dedicated
420	for the design and construction of the Project to the MWAA and, that as a condition of
421	the transfer of the DTR to the MWAA, the Commonwealth also agreed to assign and
422	transfer the revenues collected from operation of the DTR to be used in the execution
423	of agreements related to the Project.
424	72. The Commonwealth, through VDOT and the Virginia Department of Rail
125	and Public Transportation, further agreed to provide "services and support to the
126	Project and other transportation improvements in the corridor on a contract basis."
127	73. The MOU also states that revenues "collected from the [DTR] shall be
128	used for any and all costs related to the operation, maintenance and debt service of the
129	[DTR], and the design, construction and financing of the Dulles Corridor Metrorail
130	Project" After sufficient revenues have been collected for this purpose, any further
131	revenues collected from operation of the DTR "may only be used for costs related to
132	highway and other transportation improvements in the Dulles Corridor, and transit
133	capital and operating expenses in the Dulles Corridor."

Extension of Metrorail to Dulles

- The need for access to Dulles was studied as part of the original planning
- and development of Metrorail in the 1960s, but ultimately Metrorail access to Dulles
- was left out of the project. Consequently, westbound Metrorail service ends at
- Vienna/Fairfax, even though the median of the DAAH is reserved for access to
- 439 Dulles.
- In 1985, the FAA updated the Master Plan for Dulles Airport, which
- recommended the continued reservation of the DAAH median for future expansion of
- 442 Metrorail.
- 443 76. In 1990, Fairfax County sponsored the Dulles International Airport
- 444 Access Highway Corridor Transit Alternative Analysis Study to consider the various
- options for providing better access to Dulles. Some of the options considered were
- the expansion of the express bus service on the DAAH, construction of an exclusive
- 447 high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) facility in the median of the DAAH, use of light rail
- and extension of the Metrorail to Dulles.
- The corridor configuration now almost universally conceded to be
- superior for such locations—HOT lanes embedded in outer free generally purpose
- lanes—was NEVER considered. (This approach is now been proposed for I-495, I-95,
- 452 I-395, and now I-270—just in the Washington DC suburbs.) Why was it never studied
- for the Dulles Corridor? The answer is that the whole review process was rigged to
- 454 result in heavy rail.

- That same year, the Commonwealth Transportation Board passed a
- resolution which led to the adoption of the Dulles Corridor Plan for transportation
- improvements. The Dulles Corridor Plan endorsed extending rail service to Dulles by
- 458 2005. The Commonwealth Transportation Board, it must be noted, is a creature of the
- Virginia Executive, with all its members appointed by the Governor. Its activities
- 460 imply and carry no hint of endorsement by the Virginia General Assembly, nor
- convey any taxing authority in contravention of the Virginia Constitution, which
- reserves such powers to the General Assembly.
- To further evaluate the Dulles Corridor Plan, the Virginia Department of
- Rail and Public Transportation initiated a Major Investment Study, the *Dulles*
- 465 Corridor Transportation Study in 1997. A supplement to this study was prepared in
- 466 1999 to address, among other things, the possibility of using a Bus Rapid Transit
- system in the Dulles Corridor.
- 468 80. In June 2002, the alternatives for transit to Dulles were further evaluated
- in the Dulles Corridor Rapid Transit Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement
- 470 and Section 4(f) Evaluation ("Draft EIS").
- 471 81. Based on the Draft EIS and public comments received on the Draft EIS.
- WMATA Board of Directors selected the extension of Metrorail to Route 772 as the
- locally preferred alternative ("LPA") for the Project in November 2002, with the
- 474 Commonwealth Transportation Board doing the same in December 2002. The LPA

- was also endorsed during this period by the boards of supervisors of Fairfax and
- 476 Loudoun counties.
- Following the release of the Draft EIS, certain proposed revisions were
- 478 made to the Dulles Corridor Plan, including changes to design and alignment of
- facilities, pushing back the timeframe to begin and complete the project, and breaking
- 480 the project down into two phase. These changes are documented in the *Dulles*
- 481 Corridor Rapid Transit Project Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
- 482 and Section 4(f) Evaluation ("Supplemental Draft EIS") released in October 2003.
- 483 83. The Commonwealth Transportation Board subsequently recommended
- 484 approval of the Supplemental Draft EIS in its *Public Hearing Report for the*
- 485 Supplemental Draft EIS, published in March 2004.
- 486 84. The Final EIS was published in December 2004 ("Final EIS"). Based on
- 487 the Final EIS, a record of decision was issued by the Federal Transit Administration
- 488 ("FTA") in March 2005.
- 489 85. Because such considerations were not on the radar screen five years ago,
- 490 none of the environmental impact studies reviewed the effects of the chosen
- alternatives on Green House Gases. Recent research has shown that constant flowing
- 492 HOT/general purpose lanes produce fewer Green House Gases than the stop and go
- 493 configuration proposed for the Dulles Corridor.
- 494 86. The FAA was involved in the review of the Project because construction
- of the Project would require the use of airport property for non-airport purposes. On

July 11, 2005, the FAA issued a Record of Decision ("ROD") granting conditional 496 environmental approval of Phase I of the Project. The ROD further stated that 497 498 "[u]nconditional environmental approval of and a determination that the 499 environmental documents satisfy the requirements of NEPA... and FAA Orders 500 1050.E and 5050.4A will be made upon receipt and review of the request for land 501 release for the portions of Phase I located on airport property. This request must be 502 submitted by the airport sponsor, the [MWAA], to the FAA and will be reviewed for 503 consistency with Section VII.G of FAA's Policy and Procedures Concerning the use 504 of Airport Revenue (64 FR 7696-7723).[2] All appropriate determinations regarding 505 approval to use airport property for non-aeronautical uses and unconditional approval of the changes to the Airport Layout Plan for Phase 1 of the project also will be made 506 507 subsequent to review [for consistency with FAA policy and Procedures]." 87. 508 The FTA in conjunction with the Virginia Department of Rail and Public 509 Transportation and the WMATA, prepared the *Preliminary Engineering Design* 510 Refinements Environmental Assessment ("EA") which was released in February 511 2006. The EA is intended to address the environmental impact of various design 512 changes to the Project. 513 88. Unfortunately, the DAAR was built to standards which are now regarded 514 as obsolete for limited access highways. The DAAR has only 11' lanes, instead of the 515 now required 12' lanes, and has inadequate shoulders. Since the opening of the

516	DAAR, no money has been spent to modernize this highway to meet contemporary
517	safety and design standards. It was and remains a substandard highway.
518	The Regional Response to Growth and Its Effect on Transportation
519	Choices
520	89. During the 1970's Fairfax County elected political leadership which
521	emphasized economic development, with the goal of increasing the percentage of
522	property taxes from non residential sources to 25%. This increase was important in
523	that in Virginia, sources of local revenue other than property tax are limited by the
524	Constitution of Virginia unless special powers are granted by the General Assembly.
525	90. In this effort they were successful.
526	91. At the same time, the no-growth community responded to the increased
527	level of activity by insisting that local politicians remove road rights of way from
528	plans and construct cul de sacs, rather than gridded arterial and collector streets, as
529	infrastructure.
530	At one point, in the 1980's, there was a de facto moratorium on new
531	development.
532	93. The anti-highway lobby was activated by the proposed construction of I-
533	66, the only east west limited access highway in the DC area. This highway had first
534	been proposed in 1956. A brief chronology of the I-66 saga is as follows:
535	1956 I-66 is proposed by the Virginia Highway Commission as a 76-mile link
536	between Washington, D.C. and another planned interstate, I-81.

1958 Initial I-66 hearings. 537 1960 Start of clearing of houses from I-66 right-of-way. 538 1966 Department of Transportation Highway Act passed requiring an inquiry 539 regarding whether a "feasible and prudent alternative" is available before parkland is 540 541 taken for a highway. 1970 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) passed requiring an Environmental 542 543 Impact Statement (EIS) to determine whether there are impacts "significantly affecting the quality of the human environment" on federally funded projects. 544 **1970** Design hearings for an eight lane I-66. 545 546 1970 Arlington County Board lawsuit filed in U.S. District Court seeking to enjoin construction of I-266 spur through George Washington Parkway and construction of 547 548 Three Sisters Bridge. This suit was dismissed when plans for I-266 and the Three Sisters Bridge were abandoned. 549 1971 Arlington Coalition on Transportation (ACT) lawsuit filed in U.S. District Court 550 seeking to block construction of I-66 through Arlington. 551 **1972** The 4th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals rules in favor of ACT. All work stops 552 553 pending the completion of an EIS as required under NEPA. 554 1975 U.S. Sec. of Transportation William T. Coleman, Jr. rejects a revised 6-lane I-66 alternative. 555 556 **1975** Virginia Governor Mills E. Godwin continues to refuse to transfer the I-266 557 federal funding allocation for Metrorail unless I-66 is built.

1976 In order to break the I-66/Metrorail funding impasse, unprecedented hearings 558 559 personally chaired by Secretary Coleman are held. 560 1977 The so-called Coleman Decision is rendered embracing a Multi-modal Transportation Corridor compromise with Metrorail running in the median of a 4-lane 561 562 I-66. Secretary Coleman later said that it was one of the most difficult decisions he 563 had to make during his tenure as Transportation Secretary. Due to this compromise, which was an engineering disaster, Inner I-66 and traffic to and from Dulles Airport 564 from the inner core, and to and from Dulles Airport and Washington National (since 565 566 renamed Reagan), has been severely impacted. 567 1979 Continued Action on Transportation and the Environment (CONTACT) lawsuit alleging that I-66 was being built so it could be widened contrary to Coleman 568 569 Decision 4-lane limit filed in U.S. District Court. 570 1980 The U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals rejects the CONTACT lawsuit. 571 1982 I-66 opens to traffic. 572 **1983** Peak hour restrictions changed to HOV-3. 573 **1995** Peak hour restrictions changed to HOV-2. 1999 Rep. Frank R. Wolf, R-Va. recommends widening I-66 to 3-lanes westbound 574 575 from Spout Run. 576 1999 Virginia Governor Gilmore announces his plan to widen I-66 in both directions 577 inside the beltway.

considered.

597

578	94. While a number of proposals have been made to correct the obvious
579	problems that were created by the 1977 Coleman decision which forced a bottleneck
580	at the Dulles Connector/I-66 interchange, nothing has been done to date. Inner I-66
581	has evolved into one of the most severely congested and dysfunctional highway
582	segments in the U.S., constantly ranking at the top of the daily congestion hotspots,
583	which can be accessed in real time at www.traffic.com.
584	95. In particular, this interchange forces five highway lanes into two,
585	guaranteeing a high level of congestion even off peak.
586	On the outer portion of I-66, the highway ranks as the #1 most overused
587	and traffic saturated urban freeway of its design category in the entire U.S. (from
588	AASHTO data).
589	
590	Roads, Airport Access, Smart Growth and the Rail Cult
591	
592	97. The mid 1970's was the time that major cities in the U.S. stopped building
593	major highways. The impetus for this movement was the decision of the U.S.
594	Supreme Court in Overton Park [RR], which required an alternatives analysis and
595	environmental impact statement for highways. (In Overton, the question was whether
596	an alternative routing which avoided construction in a local park had been adequately

- 98. 598 In Washington, the anti-highway lobby found an alternative in the new WMATA heavy rail system. It started construction in 1969. The Arlington stations 599 600 opened in the late 1970's. The heavy rail routing was thru the arterial streets in Arlington instead of following the I-66 median west of Ballston. This routing was the 601 result of a political decision by Arlington to emulate the underground location of their 602 603 stations instead of putting the rail system on the median of I-66 as occurred west of Ballston, for 9 miles to the Vienna terminus. This decision was an early example of 604 605 the enthusiasm that greeted the delivery of the WMATA heavy rail system by the anti-606 highway forces and the hope that such a system would relieve congestion 99. 607 This enthusiasm was led by certain officials in Arlington County, which has always marketed itself as a superior example of urban design. The idea was to 608 609 take available money from roads and put it into then-fashionable ideas, such as the 610 heavy rail WMATA system. 611 100. For an amusing parody on Arlington's "vision" for themselves and other 612 "smart growth" areas, see: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4T1RMuoOnKo
- 613
- 614 101. It's a futuristic planner's dream offering typical smart growth "choice",
- 615 a 1,200 s.f. depression area house, or a 1,200 s.f. condominium, either for \$600,000.
- 616 There is also a marvelous selection of Starbucks outlets for \$4 coffee.
- 617 102. Washington, D.C.'s then mayor, Marion Shepelov Barry, had the same 618 idea when he stopped the completion of interstate highways in the District and used

- the money for the initial rail system instead. That is why limited access highways in
- 620 D.C. stop onto local arterials for no obvious reason (I-495), unconnected as they need
- to be, and why New York Avenue has never been modernized.
- The idea behind heavy rail was to make it easier to suburbanites to
- 623 commute to the center city. Of the major US cities, only Atlanta (MARTA) and San
- Francisco (BART) followed suit. The assumed planning model was for the wife to
- drive the husband to a nearby kiss and ride stop at the Metro station while she
- returned home to take care of the house and children.
- This model soon revealed its deficiencies. First, the kiss-and-ride wife
- joined the workforce and bought her own car. The Washington DC area has one of the
- 629 highest rate of female participations in the labor pool of any US city.
- 630 105. Second, the District began losing population. In 1970, when the system
- was just open, the population of the District was 756,510. In 2008, the last measure, it
- was 591,833, per US Census Bureau.
- 633 106. Third, the growth of jobs in the suburbs was much faster than in the inner
- 634 core, which Metro was designed to serve. Every year, the suburbs took another 1%
- 635 market share of the overall employment market.
- The result is that travel patterns became a spider web of point to point
- travel that had very little to do with the planned Metro in-and-out-at-rush hour design.
- Because of this, Metro ridership never reached the projected numbers (1,300,000)
- heavy rail projected for 1980; the real number today is about 750,000) and large

640 annual operating deficits appeared. The DC area has never agreed on any common 641 way to deal with these deficits. 642 108. The heavy rail system was initially promoted at a \$3 billion total cost. Its 643 funding was taken by referendum to all local jurisdictions that permitted such citizen 644 approval (all localities except the District of Columbia and Alexandria). It was 645 projected to generate a small operating profit. 109. 646 That was the idea. Unfortunately, reality turned out to be far different. 110. 647 The initial cost ended up at about \$10 billion, and actual ridership was ½ 648 the projections.. These ratios are consistent with urban rail promotions worldwide, 649 according to Bent Flyberg, author of Megaprojects and Risk. His studied all urban rail 650 promotions worldwide where publicly available data was available. His conclusion: 651 such projects were only started after official "lying." Their average cost effectiveness 652 was ¼ of that projected. Half of this underperformance was from cost overruns, half 653 from patronage over-optimism. 654 111. Lying and subterfuge has been a feature of the Dulles Rail promotion as 655 well. The cost of Phase I is officially set by the FTA at \$3.1 billion, yet the promoters, 656 on their web site, quote \$2.5 billion. (The cost submitted to the Fairfax landowners in 657 their petition for a special transportation district in 2004 was \$1.8 billion). This "growth" has occurred in only 5 years, and there is no guarantee that more is not to 658 659 come.

660	What is even more significant is that MWAA refuses to advertise and
661	justify the toll structure that would provide 75% of the costs of Phase I and Phase II.
662	(The share was originally 25%). Originally the statement was that tolls would increase
663	only at the inflation rate. That assertion is now "non-operative" in the best
664	Washington tradition of spending other people's money without accountability
665	between promise and performance.
666	We know now that urban areas that devote substantial money to
667	expensive rail projects suffer from increased congestion compared to cities without
668	expensive rail projects to support. The story is summarized in the graphic (Exhibit F).
669	It also turned out that the main impact of the radial design was for those
670	sharing carpools in and out of the city (at no cost to any government, totally
671	unsubsidized) took the Metro instead. Single occupant vehicles increased. Overall
672	surface congestion continued to worsen.
673	115. At the same time, commuters resented the forced travel by extended
674	Metro lines. They did not want to either drive and park at outlying stations, or take the
675	bus to a Metro station and then switch to rail. On the Shirley highway, the region's
676	most heavily used corridor, the "slug" system sprang into existence. Sluggers now
677	account for 44,000 daily trips, totally unsubsidized. This is obviously a bargain since
678	the Silver Line is projected to add oly 47,800 new system riders (2 1/2%) to the
679	WMATA system, and that is in 2030! Consult www. Slug-lines.com for current
680	practice.

681	Yet, slugging was never considered as a serious option for the Dulles
682	Corridor. In fact, the EIS reported in writing that the slug system would never be
683	considered seriously by any responsible local government! A clearer example of the
684	rail orientation of the promoters can hardly be imagined. The thrust of local
685	politicians, many of whom are financially conflicted, was to end up with an expensive
686	heavy rail solution. The Environmental Impact Statement process was just a cover for
687	a predetermined solution. (According to the FTA, \$220 million has already been spent
688	on the Silver Line and it has not even broken ground!)
689	117. It also turned out that this region, while enthusiastic about the shiny new
690	rail cars as long as the Federal Government was providing 90% of the capital cost,
691	was not prepared to pay for the deferred maintenance and operating deficits these
692	systems entail. The federal government does not make grants for operating cost
693	deficits. To this day, WMATA has no dedicated source of income to cover its deferred
694	costs, which have been variously estimated at \$3 billion to \$12 billion. The reason is
695	that politicians know that local approval of such a dedicated tax is not likely to be
696	popular since rail is elite travel for commuters and otherwise is used mostly by
697	tourists downtown.
698	Local jurisdictions have adopted the practice of making large annual
699	contributions to fund the Metro deficit. They have in effect starved their road
700	programs for this annual contribution.

701	To this day, Fairfax has devoted more than 80% of its available
702	transportation dollars to the WMATA system and some local, often non unionized bus
703	service. This is despite the fact that in Fairfax during this period, transit
704	accommodated no more than 3% of passenger mile trips, with the percentage
705	declining each year. Fairfax has only 3 heavy rail stops out of the 75 in the entire
706	WMATA system. Meantime, vehicle use has continued to increase.
707	The current share for transit in Virginia's latest statewide budget is 30%
708	of the transportation total. This is for a mode whose market share is less than 2%, in a
709	state which is predominantly rural. This represents a 15:1 misallocation of capital.
710	121. This misallocation compares with that of the Metropolitan Washington
711	Council of Governments, whose 25-year constrained long range plan calls for \$53
712	billion out of \$93 billion to be spent on transit. This is 60% of the total for a mode
713	where their own projections show is 4% declining to 2.7% in 25 years.
714	The same officials who have misallocated the available money are crying
715	poor that there is not enough for new road construction. Indeed, they complain that
716	they barely have enough for repairing pavement and shoring up failing bridges. Their
717	road show is not credible in that they studiously avoid any accurate calculations of
718	cost effectiveness for new construction. The reason for this is simple: many of them
719	are rail cultists, and these rail projects fail any rational cost effectiveness test.

Talking about transportation "alternatives" does not cure this analytical 123. 720 721 deficiency. Helicopters, jet packs, and maglev lines running everywhere are possible 722 alternatives too, but they don't make economic sense. 723 124. At the same time, while politicians were happy to attend ribbon cutting 724 services, they were not anxious to fund operating deficits and the ongoing capital cost 725 of maintaining WMATA's assets. While most transit systems must be subsidized to 726 some extent, WMATA has not been a standout in any respect. Estimates of 727 WMATA's cost to enter a "state of good repair" is \$7 billion over a ten year period (FTA estimate). There are no credible plans to raise this money locally and the federal 728 729 government traditionally does not fund repairs and maintenance. Wouldn't it make 730 more sense to take care of what you already have (and can't maintain) rather than add 731 on more obligations which embed operating deficits and capital replacement 732 requirements we know are being shirked? In recent months, this deferred 733 maintenance has resulted in 9 deaths from railcar crashes. 734 125. The bias towards rail and against highways is even reflected in salaries paid public officials and the employment overhead. Neil Pederson, who heads 735 736 Maryland's Highway Department, a job with immense responsibilities, is paid 737 \$165,000 a year. At the same time John Catoe, who is head of WMATA, with a far 738 smaller market share, is paid \$330,000 plus a fancy benefit package. WMATA has 739 about 11,000 average employees, each of which has an average fully burdened cost of

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

- 740 over \$100,000 per year. This personnel burden of over \$1 billion per year is one 741 reason why WMATA is constantly feeding at the public trough.
- 126. 742 Drivers and car poolers are happy to arrange transportation at their own 743 cost, without subsidies. The federal department of transportation has issued many 744 studies showing that roads pay for themselves, while transit and rail are heavily 745 subsidized, on the order of 50 cents per passenger mile.
- 746 127. The anti-highway lobby seized on a philosophy of "smart growth" as a way to justify their opposition. The idea behind "smart growth" is that ordinary U.S. citizens cannot be trusted to live and work as they see fit. It is necessary to invoke the aid of theorists who know how to do workplaces and housing better than the private sector. The fact that the theorists simply talk, as opposed to the private development community which has money on the line in every new project, seems irrelevant to the smart growthers. When it is suggested that the smart growthers develop their ideas themselves in the real world—no special license being needed to produce buildings they retreat into their studies. Smart growth has produced academics and consultants who are paid to proselytize for a better world without ever having to test their ideas with the public.
- 757 128. Smart growth, the anti-highway lobby, and the rail cultists soon found 758 themselves and formed a de facto alliance. Their joint promotion was something 759 called "transit oriented development" A better description is "subsidized 760 development", since transit is always subsidized (in the U.S. at least).

761	129.	Transit Oriented development	opment is p	romoted as "a	llowing" the	construction

- of denser than average development around "transit stops." Often, parking is
- restricted, on the theory that people will take transit if they can't park their car nearby.
- 764 130. It is entirely debatable whether this vision of a better world actually
- passes the real-world test. True transit oriented development has been a financial
- failure wherever it has been tried. When developers are required to restrict parking to
- below traditional levels, their projects have failed (Beaverton, Oregon is just one
- 768 example).
- Note that in the Washington, DC area no local government has had the
- courage to restrict parking near "transit stops." Developers just won't build under
- these circumstances, nor could they obtain financing.
- Examples cited in this area for successful "smart growth" are Bethesda
- and Arlington/Ballston. Out of 75 stops, these are very few. These are close-in, high
- income areas which would have succeeded under any set of circumstances. Forcing all
- new development into rings around Metro stops, while forbidding development
- elsewhere, does not demonstrate the success of "smart growth." This same pattern of
- artificial growth areas can be found in suburban San Francisco and Atlanta and many
- 778 other cities.
- 779 133. The one city that has eschewed this "guided development" of the smart
- 780 growthers is Houston, which has limited zoning (and higher construction costs for
- housing than elsewhere due to a high water table, hurricane and flooding). On an after

- tax and after cost of living adjustments, Houston is the wealthiest city in the U.S. This
 achievement is notable in that Houston does not have a higher gross level of income
 than comparable cities. It is the low real estate prices resulting from the absence of
- Smart Growth that has made Houston wealthier than Washington, D.C., where the
- workers have higher pre-tax incomes.
- 787 134. Other destinations, like all those in PG County, and Wheaton, Rockville,
- and Silver Spring in Montgomery County, have all failed to produce viable new
- 789 construction.
- 790 135. Instead of acknowledging the failure of smart growth, the suburban
- 791 counties have expanded the concept into de-facto urban growth boundaries.
- Montgomery County has announced that only about 10% of its "undeveloped" space
- is available for new construction. South of the Potomac River, Loudoun County has
- 794 its "agricultural" district where minimum lot sizes are 40 acres! Prince William
- 795 County has its "rural crescent." The result of such large lot zoning, in the name of
- open space preservation, is the movement of those who can't afford mansion sized
- houses and the accompanying monumental mortgages into the panhandle of West
- 798 Virginia and across the Mason Dixon line into Pennsylvania.
- 799 136. The failure of "smart growth" has been demonstrated on an international
- scale with the recent collapse in housing finance. "Smart growth", manifesting itself
- in a myriad of restrictions on new development (away from a few preferred locations
- with high construction costs), drove up the price of housing so high that creative

financing was necessary to afford it. This hyperescalation due to smart growth was most notable coastal California, Florida, and Boston. Washington, DC was affected, but not as much.

The same house that you could buy in Houston for \$180,000 was \$750, 000 in coastal California.

138. When the bubble burst, the collateralized debt obligations that were the end product of too-high mortgages due to too-high housing prices became "toxic assets." The result was a worldwide panic and the spread of counterparty risk that ended up as liquidity crises of the first magnitude.

Federal Highway Activity following the I-66 construction

As a follow on to the I-66 construction, in 1981 the Federal Aviation Administration agreed to construct, at its own expense, a "New Section" between I-66 at the start of the DAAR at the Capital Beltway (Route 123) and I-66 at West Falls Church. Part of the agreement between the Federal Aviation Administration and the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation (now the Virginia Department of Transportation, or VDOT) was that "the new section of the Access Highway is to be constructed to the standards and specifications for the Interstate System as approved by the Secretary of Transportation in cooperation with VDHT. Following construction by the FAA, responsibility for operation, maintenance, and policing of the New Section passed to VDOT.

- This New Section was built, two lanes in each direction, on a 400' right
- of way. It is congested for miles during rush hours due to the skimpy laneage,
- consuming only 48' (four lanes at 12') of the 400' available.
- As part of the agreement, the FAA agreed to open up traffic on the New
- Section to all traffic. The only airport-restricted traffic was to be the original 10-mile
- Dulles Airport Access Road between the Capital Beltway and Dulles Airport, at Route
- 830 28.
- No toll has ever been charged on the New Section. No toll has ever been
- charged on I-66, either inside or outside the Beltway.
- The agreement was signed on behalf of the FAA by James Wilding,
- whose title was Director, Metropolitan Washington Airports, a sub agency of the
- 835 Federal Aviation Agency.
- Due to pressure from landowners which received no access from the
- large 400' right of way owned by the FAA, the latter agreed, in January 1983, to grant
- an easement of 250' (125' on each side) to VDOT to construct a highway usable by
- the general public. The agreement was again signed by James Wilding, acting as
- agent of the Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration.
- The Commonwealth of Virginia did not feel like funding this project
- (later named the Dulles Toll Road), and Northern Virginia's local politicians proved
- state transportation dollars from Richmond. The latter did agree
- however to the construction of the highway. The expectation at the time was that once

- the bonds necessary to pay off construction of the Toll Road built in the FAA

 easement had been repaid, the tolls would be removed. The precedent was a number
- of projects around Virginia where this pattern had been respected, including a toll of
- 848 I-95 just north of Richmond.
- 849 146. Indeed, state law at that time, which has not been repealed, required
- removal of tolls from state bonded road projects.
- In 1987 the federal government leased to a new entity, the Metropolitan
- Washington Airports Authority, the two Washington airports and such ancillary
- facilities as the Dulles Airport Access Road (the 400' right of way from I-66 to Dulles
- Airport). This lease was accomplished by two parallel and almost identical statutes,
- 855 current Va. Code §5.1-154 et seq., and D.C. Code §S. 9-901 et seq.
- In the "WHEREAS" clause of the agreement and deed of lease between
- the Federal Government, "acting by and through the Secretary of Transportation", the
- purposes of the lease and transfer was declared as follows:
- "The Congress declared its purpose to be to authorize the transfer of operating
- responsibility under a long-term lease of the Metropolitan Washington Airport
- properties as a unit, including access highways and other related facilities, In order
- to achieve local control, management, operation, and development of these important
- transportation assets. [emphasis supplied].
- Plaintiffs herein contend that MWAA has failed to operate the two
- airports as a unit, thereby violating the terms of the federal government least on

886

March 2, 1987, which has not been amended. In particular, the total neglect of 866 uncongested ground access between the two airports has eliminated the considerable 867 868 advantage enjoyed by air travelers who enjoy speedy access to a much wider range of 869 air travel alternatives. Inasmuch as Dulles and National operate at about the same level of passenger load, prudent facilities management would arrange guaranteed 870 871 direct travel time by car, taxi, van, bus, or limousine of no more than ½ hour for the 872 23 miles separation of the two airports. This is the type of choice and speed that 873 today's experienced air traveler has come to expect. For example, ½ hour connections 874 are possible between Miami International and Fort Lauderdale airports, and LAX and 875 Orange County airports. 876 150. In August 1987 the new Airports Authority re-executed a grant of easement in favor of VDOT, which was operating the Toll Road. That agreement was 877 again signed by James Wilding on behalf of MWAA, now acting as general manager 878 879 of MWAA. 151. 880 Route 267, the Toll Road built in the FAA easement area, was expanded 881 twice, in 1995 and 1999, and is now four lanes throughout. Unfortunately, again, the 882 expanded lanes were not built to the limited access standards required by the federal 883 government. The initial four lanes, and the added four lanes, are all 11' wide, instead of 12', and lack the shoulder dimensions (10' inner and 6' outer) required for a limited 884 access highway of that design speed. Some of the shoulders are less than 2' wide, 885

creating a hazardous condition for all motorist and periodic tie ups of the highway for

- miles when cars break down. Even more seriously, heavy concrete Jersey barriers
 were put up between the inner lanes (the DAAR) and the tolled outer lanes. This
 makes proper incident (accident) management impossible since it is impossible to tow
 away cars breaking down in the fast lanes.
- In addition, the bridges built as part of the initial DAAR/Toll Road
 construction were not designed to allow compact rail service in the center. Thus,
 although there is sufficient right of way for heavy rail pursuant to a dedicated guide
 way, the bridge structures will have to be rebuilt, at enormous cost and inconvenience
 to the traveling public.
- Plaintiffs are unable to locate any required waiver of federal and AASHTO design standards for the initial construction the two inner lanes of the DAAR, the initial four lane construction of the Toll Road, or the two dual lane expansions in 1995 and 1999.
- 900 154. As of today, the entire 400' right of way from the Capital Beltway to
 901 Dulles Airport is a substandard highway. Plaintiffs maintain that all segments, inner
 902 and outer, must be brought up to current federal interstate standards and that it is
 903 improper to use federal money for any improvements that do not result in a highway
 904 built to federally mandated contemporary standards.
- The current plan is even worse than described above. A study in 2007 commissioned by MWAA concluded that it would be impossible to construct a third inner lane in the DAAR without violating road design parameters for most of the

highway. Accordingly, despite the most generous 400' right of way inherited from the 908 Federal Government, current plans call for level of service F (stop and go) for six 909 910 hours a day, with the corridor operating at an average 35 mph both for rail and 911 roadway. In other words, travel time either along the DAAR or the Toll Road will 912 continue to deteriorate, and the expensive frill of a money losing rail system will make no difference in surface congestion or travel times (see Exhibit B). 913 914 156. This plan is not what was envisioned by Congress when it set up MWAA to do a better job of operating the two airports "as a unit." 915 Virginia Involvement in the Federally Leased Land 916 157. At all times during this narrative the operation of the Dulles Toll Road. 917 918 State Road 267, was subject to the provisions of state law with respect to the use of 919 toll revenues. Virginia Code § 33.1-287 provides as follows: 920 § 33.1-287. Cessation of tolls "When the particular revenue bonds issued for any project or projects and the 921 922 interest thereon have been paid, or a sufficient amount has been provided for their 923 payment and continues to be held for that purpose, the Board shall cease to charge 924 tolls for the use of such project or projects and thereafter such project or projects shall be free; however, the Board may thereafter charge tolls for the use of any such project 925 926 when tolls are required for maintaining, repairing, operating, improving, and 927 reconstructing such project, when such tolls have been or are pledged by the Board to 928 the payment of revenue bonds issued under the provisions of the article for another

- 929 project or projects on approval of the General Assembly or when such tolls are designated by the Board to be deposited into the Transportation Trust Fund. But any 930 931 such pledge of tolls of a project to the payment of bonds issued for another project 932 shall not be effectual until the principal and interest of the bonds issued for the first 933 mentioned project shall have been paid or provision made for their payment". 934 935 The foregoing provisions shall also apply to tolls on projects constructed pursuant to 936 the acts incorporated by reference by § 33.1-253 (Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel 937 District), and § 33.1-320 (Richmond Metropolitan Authority), provided their governing bodies have acted as set forth in subdivision 4 of § 33.1-23.03:1. 938 It is manifestly the case that diversion of excess Toll Road funds was 158. 939 never made by the General Assembly. 940 159. If funds were in fact deposited into the Transportation Trust Fund, these 941 funds could not be used for other projects either presently, nor in the future. 942 Accordingly, all such excess revenues generated by the Toll Road should be used first 943
- 946 160. This was never done.

and tear down the toll plazas.

944

945

947 161. In the early part of this decade, prior to the refunding of the outstanding 948 bonds, the Commonwealth Transportation board voted to apply the "surplus" funds 949 from the Dulles Toll Road to the "Dulles Corridor Rapid Transit Project."

to operate and repair the road, second to pay off the bonds, and third, retire the tolls

	162. The Commonwealth Transportation Board is a creature of the Executive
951	Branch of the Virginia Government, with all its members being appointed by, and
952	serving at the pleasure of, the Governor. Accordingly, under the Virginia constitution
953	it is a separate branch of government with no unique and exclusive powers on matters
954	of taxation, which is reserved to the General Assembly (see Marshall v. NVTA, 275
955	Va. 419 (2008).
956	163. It is admitted by MWAA and VDOT that \$133 million of surplus Toll
957	Road (Route 267) money has been diverted to fund rail operations to date.
958	Accordingly, any purported diversion of funds contrary to the statute quoted above
959	exceeds the constitutional power of the Executive Branch or any agency thereof.
960	Because of this, all funds collected that are not needed for the operation and
961	maintenance of the Toll Road and the repayment of bonds need to be returned to the
962	taxpayers. This is exactly the remedy ordered by the Virginia Supreme Court in
963	another tax case, involving the purported delegation of tax power by the General
964	Assembly contrary to the Virginia Constitution; see Marshall v. NVTA, 275 Va. 419
965	(2008).
966	164. In 1995 Virginia passed a law known as the Public Private Partnership
967	Act. This law was enacted to enable Virginia to take advantage of the newly
968-	discovered availability of private capital to help support new infrastructure. The idea
969	was that private companies would co-invest along with the public sector according to
970	a lengthy and fully negotiated contract, extending far into the future (often 80 years)

- 971 This process would produce more capital and thus a faster construction time than 972 relying on pay-as-you-go projects, the financial practice of Virginia since the days of 973 Harry Byrd. The appeal of this arrangement was particularly strong in Northern 974 Virginia, where development had far outstripped the ability of the State Government 975 to provide suitable roads. (As mentioned above, local money from taxes and bond 976 issues went mostly into transit, which has proven to do nothing to relieve surface 977 congestion). 978 165. In the late 1990's the Federal Transit Administration advanced the 979 concept of demonstration projects of a "new" technology, Bus Rapid Transit ("BRT"). 980 The federal government would provide 90% of the cost such projects, which had the 981 advantage of cheaper equipment, faster service, and more flexible schedules because 982 buses can operate a few seconds of travel time apart, instead of minutes with heavy 983 rail. These systems have been successful wherever they have been tried. 984 166. Due to work by our congressional delegation, the Dulles Corridor was on
- 985 track to be one of the 10 BRT demonstration projects.
- 986 167. In April 1991, the Commonwealth Transportation Board codified the 987 regulations by which it would consider Public Private Partnerships, under the title 988 "Implementation Guidelines.
- 989 168. About this time, with the demonstrated financial success of the Dulles 990 Toll Road, the owners of large blocks of land in Tysons Corner saw an opportunity to 991 have others pay for the public infrastructure that would undergird their efforts to

- 992 upzone their properties in Tysons Corner. That is, instead of defining Tysons Corner
- as a Community Investment zone which would finance its own redevelopment under
- the most favorable tax laws possible, the owners instead pursued a special tax district
- 995 that would raise a small portion of the necessary redevelopment funds and look to the
- 996 County and State to provide the rest of the infrastructure.
- 997 169. In this regard they had an important political supporter, Gerald Connolly
- who represented the Tysons landowners on the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Connolly
- 999 later became Board Chairman and then congressman from Virginia's 11th district.
- 1000 170. Large infrastructure companies were looking to do public private
- partnerships, including a subsidiary of Raytheon and Bechtel. The latter, fresh from its
- "success" in Boston's Big Dig and Portland's light rail airport extension, was hungry
- 1003 for new business.
- 1004 171. (The "success" referred to was that of Bechtel, which generated large
- profits, some of which have been subsequently forced to be returned. The projects
- themselves were failures.)
- 1007 172. At the direction of the Commonwealth Transportation Board, the
- 1008 competing bidders for the Bus Rapid Transit project were asked to combine forces.
- 1009 173. Then an amazing event happened, one which plaintiffs have never been
- 1010 able to document.
- In a secret, undocumented meeting, without advance notice, minutes, or
- recorded votes, all such practice being contrary to Virginia Open Meeting laws (Va

1013 Code §2.2-3700 et. seq.), the Bus Rapid Transit plan was thrown out, and it was overnight replaced by a Heavy Rail project, at ten times the cost. 1014 The Tysons landowners set up a controlled group, called LEADER 1015 175. 1016 (Landowners for the Economic Development of Rail to Dulles). They hired former 1017 governor Linwood Holton and ex senator Chuck Robb. (Linwood Holton's daughter is 1018 current Virginia governor Tim Kaine's wife, making the Dulles Rail promotion a 1019 multigenerational family affair). Kaine is a confirmed rail-o-holic whose latest state budget (2010) calls for 30% of the Virginia transportation budget to be spent on cost-1020 ineffective transit projects, in a state where transit is less than 2% of overall demand. 1021 LEADER's pitch was that for \$30,000 a year, you would get "a seat at 1022 176. 1023 the table." No pay, no play, a very comprehensible system to politicians and special 1024 interest groups alike. And that's what happened. In 2001 The Tysons landowners got their local representative in the 1025 177. 1026 Virginia House, Vince Callahan, to introduce and pass legislation authorizing the 1027 formation of Special Transportation Districts. Such districts were to be formed by the 1028 affirmative approval of more than 50% of the affected landowners whose contiguous 1029 properties were industrially or commercially zoned. 178. 1030 Callahan thought it was a good idea to differentially tax commercial and 1031 industrial owners, despite the limitations of the Virginia Constitution, Article, 1032 Section . It is acceptable conventional wisdom among traffic engineers that each new 1033 residential unit generates 10 new road trips per day. There has never been a showing

1034 that commercial and industrial landowners are specially benefited by a transportation 1035 tax more than any other class. The General Assembly and Fairfax County have made 1036 no effort to justify this differential, so wisely prohibited by the writers of the Virginia Constitution. 1037 1038 179. The LEADER group mentioned above then proceeded with a massive petition for a transportation district extending all the way from Tysons Corner to 1039 1040 Route 28 in Loudoun and Fairfax Counties. Such a transportation district, however, required the approval of the Town of Herndon, which voted down the idea 6-1 in 1041 1042 November 2003. At about the same time, the Federal Transit Administration, which was 1043 180. 1044 being petitioned for \$600 million in "New Starts" grant (49 USC 5309), informed the 1045 promoters that the project needed to be split into two parts to have any hope of federal 1046 funding. The western terminus of the first phase was to be at Wiehle Avenue and the 1047 Toll Road. 1048 181. The promoters scrambled around and presented an amended petition to 1049 the Fairfax Board of Supervisors, which rubber stamped it. The public hearing held 1050 February 23, 2004 was in fact completely scripted by Hunton and Williams, the 1051 lobbying law firm representing the pay-to-play LEADER insiders. In their hurry, the 1052 promoters did not follow proper state procedure in announcing meetings that would be 1053 descriptive of the tax proposed. Nor did their public notices, newspaper ads in the 1054 Washington Times, describe with any specificity the properties to be affected.

1055	182.	What was left of the original tax district along the Dulles Corridor was a
1056	scattering c	of properties between Hunter Mill Road and Wiehle Avenue. These
1057	properties v	were more than three miles from the nearest boundary of the tax district in
1058	Tysons Cor	ner.
1059	183.	Since this activity occurred in 2004, the Virginia Supreme Court has
1060	come out w	rith two opinions which nullified this sloppy procedure. In the Gas Mart
1061	case, Reco	rd No. 041455, March 3, 2005, the Virginia Supreme Court disallowed the
1062	Loudoun C	ounty downzoning due to insufficiently precise notice. In the Allfirst case
1063	record no.	032554, September 17, 2004, the Virginia Supreme Court threw out a
1064	utility distr	ict which had the same 50% approval requirement, on the grounds the
1065	properties s	supposed to be affected were not contiguous.
1066	184.	Based on the revised standards on these two issues, as decided by
1067	Virginia's l	nighest court, and based on new standards decided after the establishment
1068	of the Spec	ial Tax District, the status of the Dulles Corridor portion of the
1069	transportati	on district is now under court review in the state court system.
1070	185.	In addition, the entire Special Transportation District has been attacked
1071	on the grou	nds that it establishes a differential tax rate for classes of properties, a
1072	distinction	not permitted by the Virginia Constitution.
1073	186.	Both these cases are now pending before the Virginia Supreme Court.
1074	187.	In 2002, the rail promotion, then under the management of the
1075	Departmen	t of Rail and Public Transport in Richmond, was the subject of a Draft

- 1076 Environmental Impact Statement. In 2004 the Final Environmental Impact Statement
- 1077 was issued.
- 1078 188. These Impact Statements required 1) a comprehensive alternatives
- analysis, and 2) the selection of a Locally Preferred Alternative.
- 1080 189. The Comprehensive Transportation plan for Tysons Corner contained a
- total of three proposed rail stops. When the issue of the locally preferred alternative
- came up before the Fairfax Board, Chairman Connolly, hired three weeks before as a
- public relations officer with SAIC, a large government contractor, added a fourth stop,
- at the doorstep of his employer and its 18 acres of suddenly much more valuable land.
- 1085 190. On information and belief, the addition of the Connolly rail stop
- increased the cost of the project more than tunneling the entire Tysons segment, and
- alternative which was periodically rejected as being "too expensive."
- 1088 191. The petition for the Transportation tax district contained a drop dead date
- for the issuance of a federal Full Funding and Grant Agreement (FFGA) of December
- 1090 21, 2006. That date came and went. The original petition also mentioned that the cost
- of the initial project would be \$1.6 billion, 25% of which would come from the
- special transportation district. Only 25% was supposed to be needed from the Toll
- 1093 Road securitization.
- 1094 192. These figures are now, as of mid 2009, total project cost of \$3.1 billion.
- of which the local tax share is \$400 million/\$3.1 billion or 12%. The proportion of the
- total cost needed to come from the Toll Road is now 75%, not 25%.

1097	193.	In 2006, in view of the escalating costs, a political decision was made in
1098	Richmond to	offload this turkey of a megaproject to an outside source. While there
1099	were several	private parties interested in buying the Toll Road (subject to large
1100	increases in	the tolls), MWAA, a convenient entity which conflicted politicians saw as
1101	a plausible v	ehicle for avoiding required general assembly or local voter approval for
1102	tax increases	s, was induced to take over construction. They would issue revenue bonds
1103	for the const	ruction, 75% of the security for which would come from higher taxes on
1104	toll road use	rs. The cost of these bonds would be higher than the cost of capital for the
1105	Commonwe	alth of Virginia, either by direct infusion, or via state sponsored debt.
1106	194.	Neither Bechtel, the private contractor, nor MWAA is proposing to put a
1107	dime into the	e project we contest. MWAA has proposed a token contribution to Phase
1108	II (from Wie	thle Avenue to the Airport and beyond to Loudoun County), but such
1109	money will o	come from airline ticket surcharges, which will be borne by local airport
1110	users.	
1111	195.	MWAA has no experience with building urban rail, and no experience in
1112	surface trans	portation nor access corridors. Former Secretary William Coleman, the
1113	federal Trans	sportation Secretary in the 1980's, has called them "incompetent."
1114	196.	Various reviews by the professional staff at the Federal Transit
1115	Administrati	on have given the Dulles Corridor heavy rail project a low rating over the
1116	years. As rec	ently as April of 2008, the project was effectively dead at the federal
1117	level. FTA a	dministrator James Simpson exercised correct management judgment in

turning down the 23 mile (22%) extension of the basic WMATA heavy rail system 1118 1119 (for a projected 3.5% increase in system use, in 2025!) until WMATA had figured out 1120 how to keep the basic system in repair. 197. In May of 2008, according to documents which have had their dates 1121 1122 mysteriously deleted, Transportation Secretary Mary Peters gave into the political pressure and approved a \$900 million FFGA (of which \$220 million has already been 1123 1124 spent). She, and others, at the outgoing administration, we not anxious to make heroic 1125 stands in the midst of a job change for the outgoing political appointees. 1126 198. Another unfortunate Virginia precedent appeared in 2008 while the 1127 federal jockeying was going on. In a landmark case, Marshall v. NVTA, 275 Va. 419, 1128 the Virginia Supreme Court declared that the imposition of taxes could only be 1129 undertaken by the affirmative recorded vote of a majority of elected officials. The 1130 attempted delegation of tax authority to the members of the Northern Virginia 1131 Transportation Authority was declared void. 199. 1132 The NVTA decision makers, in fact, were a far more representative 1133 group than the Board of Directors of MWAA, all of whom by statute must not hold 1134 elective or appointive office. 1135 200. The Supreme Court ordered the refund of taxes previously paid to 1136 NVTA, which was done. Plaintiffs herein request the same remedy, the refund of 1137 taxes on road users from the time they were surplus to the maintenance and financing

- needs of the Toll Road (Route 267). The approximate amount to be refunded is
- 1139 \$300,000,000.
- Plaintiffs also request an injunction against further taxation of toll road
- uses, and a declaratory judgment that MWAA may not impose any further taxes on
- Toll Road users absent the approval of the General Assembly.
- The taxes proposed by MWAA to finance 75% of the cost of the rail
- promotion are actually, by design, worse than the NVTA taxes that were struck down.
- The NVTA tax enabling legislation contained a constrained range with minimum and
- 1146 maximum tax rates.
- 1147 203. In contrast, MWAA is contemplating "hell or high water" bond language
- which would give it the unilateral authority to raise taxes on toll road users as high as
- necessary to satisfy the coverage requirements of the bond underwriters. No one
- knows that rate of taxation would be necessary. MWAA has refused to publicize any
- proposed numbers. Instead, they have embarked on a public relations campaign for
- 2009 designed to promote the idea that higher taxes are a good idea at a time of
- economic collapse, when the vacancy rates in the Dulles Corridor are at an all time
- high, and higher than any other area of our regional economy.
- Once this mischievous language is embedded in a trust indenture, it will
- be impossible to moderate the taxes imposed on toll road users.
- The tax that MWAA is proposing would be the highest in the history of
- 1158 Virginia. The numbers are 75% of the total initial construction cost of \$6 billion. The

\$6 billion figure is given without allowance for interest costs during the 40 year life of 1159 1160 the project. If financing costs and operating deficits are added, the latter of which will 1161 be paid by local taxpayers (the federal government does not subsidize operating cost 1162 deficits), the total cost will be \$20 billion, of which all but the \$900 million federal 1163 grant will come from local sources. 1164 206. Phase II of the proposed rail scheme will cost at least as much as Phase I, 1165 being the same length (11.5 miles) and having a mile-long tunnel underneath the 1166 airport in front of the terminal. This tunnel would be necessitated by the required Fine 1167 Arts review that mandates that the profile of any structures near the iconic Saarinen 1168 terminal be non obtrusive. This tunnel will cost more than the Tysons corner tunneling 1169 proposed and not studied. It will also be an enormous security risk. Bombing the 1170 entrance or the interior of this tunnel is so easy to plan that safeguarding this risk will 1171 cost a fortune. 1172 207. It must be noted that current plans call for major construction by MWAA 1173 more than 1 mile from the nearest point on the Dulles Access Road. This is not "airport property" or "airport facilities" as defined in the enabling legislation 1174 1175 establishing MWAA in the first place. In terms of the cost of Phase I, 75% of it will be incurred on on-airport property and non-airport facilities property, on private land 1176 1177 in Tysons Corner, to benefit not the airport nor its travelers, but private landowners in 1178 Tysons.

1199

1179 208. It would be a much greater benefit to airport patrons to bypass the four stops in Tysons. These Tysons stops now include, as mentioned above, the 1180 1181 Connolly/SAIC stop that inflated the construction cost so much. 1182 209. The rail plan is for local service only, unlike many airport rail connections around the world. Accordingly, even without wait times at the origin 1183 1184 (Dulles Airport) and a core destination (downtown DC), travel time will be over an 1185 hour—not competitive. There will be 17 stops between Dulles Airport and Metro 1186 Center, D.C. With properly designed ground access, travel time from Dulles to the Inner Core OR Washington Reagan airport should be ½ hour. The distance to both 1187 destinations is only 23 miles. 1188 1189 1190 **Transportation Technology** 1191 1192 210. The die was cast in 2001 when the secret vote in Richmond morphed a 1193 practical and inexpensive Demonstration Project for Bus Rapid Transit into an 1194 expensive heavy rail boondoggle. 1195 211. Meantime, corridor technology was advancing. 1196 212. The most notable new technology was variable priced, open road tolling. 1197 This idea, long favored by economists, saw its first US implementation in California. 1198 213. Opening in 1995, the 91 Express Lanes was the first privately-funded

tollway built in the United States since the 1940s, and the first fully automated

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

tollway in the world. Tolls are collected when a vehicle carrying a transponder mounted on the inside of the vehicle's windshield passes beneath the toll 'booth' (actually a transceiver array above the toll lanes that is located at about the five-mile (8 km) point of the toll road). Other characteristics of the toll road include: variable toll based on traffic volume (i.e. variable congestion pricing) with road signs alerting users to the toll to be paid; an alignment contained entirely within the median of the existing Riverside Freeway with two lanes in each direction; limited access provided only at the east and west ends of the toll road (where the toll lanes become regular carpool lanes; and separation between the regular, main lanes of the Riverside Freeway is provided by reflective yellow, 3 ft (0.91 m) high, plastic lane markers (as opposed to concrete barriers or a similar solid barrier)). 214. The spectacular success of this highway attracted worldwide attention. The road, costing \$120 million all-in, or \$3 million a lane mile, proved to carry more traffic on four congestion managed lanes than occurred on the outer eight general purpose lanes. 215. Variable priced tolling served two purposes. First, it raised revenue. enough to justify private investment, although the highway itself was done by a public authority (and was sold to the Orange County Transportation Authority a few years after it opened for \$210 million). Orange County, unlike MWAA, or even VDOT, has extensive experience in building and operating toll roads.

216. The concept behind the Riverside Freeway was robustly tested in other 1220 locations: I-5 north of San Diego, I-25 in Denver, I-394 in Minneapolis, and most 1221 recently the renovated I-10 (Katy Freeway) in Houston. 1222 1223 217. What these projects demonstrated was that congestion managed lanes could operate at least at Service Level C (45 mph) and carry transit vehicles at the 1224 same speeds, with excess capacity for private drivers that could be "sold" for 1225 1226 guaranteed travel times. I-10 east of Los Angeles (the "El Monte") is as heavily traveled a 1227 218. highway as exists anywhere in the world, with daily use of 400,000 vehicles a day. 1228 Congestion managed lanes permit a mix of express and local buses to travel at open 1229 1230 road speeds. 1231 219. It turns out that the demand for transit vehicles in major urban corridors has never exceeded 100 vehicles per hour. (An exception would be the Lincoln 1232 1233 Tunnel Busway which is a dedicated single lane highway, one way from 6 am to 10 am, which handles several hundred vehicles per hour). Since the capacity of a single 1234 1235 highway lane is for 60 mph service is about 1,500 vehicles per hour, shared 1236 guideway—an unregulated mix of vehicles, all of whom pay for the privilege of 1237 guaranteed travel time—has proven a far more cost effective corridor design than 1238 fixed guideway, which is the plan for Route 267 east of the airport. 220. 1239 In practice, the maximum demand for transit in any corridor in the U.S. 1240 is 100 vehicles per hour—about 8% of the capacity of a single lane. Rubber tired

- vehicles are less expensive to buy, per vehicle and per seat, and require less energy
- per passenger mile to operate, over uncongested roads.
- 1243 221. The official adopted plan for Route 267 is for the corridor to operate at
- 1244 35 mph with very high embedded expenses.
- 1245 222. Auto tolls will have to be \$5-7 per trip one way. MWAA has not
- publicized the number, since it is so embarrassing, but the arithmetic is obvious. At
- 1247 75% of \$4.5 billion cost for both phases of Dulles Rail, the \$4.5 billion will entail an
- annual debt service of about 7% of this debt or \$300 million. Add the debt service
- coverage (the safety factor) of 20% or \$60 million, the costs to operate the toll
- collections (another \$30 million), the annual revenue from taxes of the Toll Road
- must be at close to \$400 million per year.
- 1252 223. In transportation studies the usual denominator is 290, to reflect a mix of
- weekday and weekend traffic. So the daily cost just for the Toll Road operations will
- be about \$1,300,000 a day. Divide this number by the number of daily users (200,000)
- and you have \$6.50 per trip, one way.
- We know the tax on toll road users will have to be at least at this level.
- The Dulles Greenway, which is a privately funded road west of Route 267, but whose
- 1258 cost of capital is no higher than will be the case with Route 267 revenue bonds,
- charges up to \$4.80 per trip during rush hour.
- The Greenway's patronage is about 80,000 users per day, versus the
- 1261 200,000 projected for Route 267. But it needs to service only \$600 million in cost (the

purchase price paid by McQuarrie, which in retrospect appears to have been an 1262 overpayment). Seven times the financial burden divided by 2.5 times the patronage of 1263 the Greenway shows a multiplication factor of at least 2.5. This simple arithmetic 1264 demonstrates that Route 267 will inevitably be priced at a higher level than the 1265 Greenway WITH NO GUARANTEE OF IMPROVED TRAVEL TIME 1266 226. Route 267 patronage has been approximately constant since 2002. 1267 Several projections put the rate of growth in the future at less than ½ of 1% per year. 1268 This is hardly the explosive growth pictured by the rail promoters. Higher traffic 1269 1270 increases are projected for many other highways further west, where residents and 1271 businesses both are migrating. 227. Indeed, the plan being promoted by MWAA is for Route 267 to operate 1272 1273 like inner I-66, with inadequate capacity for general purpose traffic, and an inefficient heavy rail transit link in the center whose right of way could be better used for rubber 1274 1275 tired vehicles. 228. In fact, Route 267 is being set up to operate like inner-I-66, only with 1276 high full time tolls. 1277 229. The planned travel speed is 30 mph for both the rail and road portion. 1278 1279 Projected level of service for Route 267 is F, stop and go, the worst possible, for most 1280 of the day. This is the picture of continued deterioration, despite spending all this money. It is, in fact, planning our way into regional decline. 1281

1282	230.	Congestion is projected to be the same on Route 267 and the arterials
1283	surrounding	g it whether or not the rail is actually built.(Source: 2004 Final
1284	Environme	ntal Impact Statement).
1285	231.	As for airport access, no investigation has occurred about the spillover
1286	effect of hig	gh taxes on toll road users on the outer lanes and high congestion, versus
1287	free and fas	st passage in the inner lanes. (As noted above, expansion of the inner lanes
1288	without sev	ere compromises for road design is not possible according to all current
1289	studies).	
1290	232.	Given the choice between free and fast versus expensive and slow, many
1291	through driv	vers will choose the inner lanes and simply drive around the airport arrival
1292	or departure	e areas. This drivearound will add 5 minutes to the 10 mile through trip,
1293	but traveling	g at 60 mph in the DAAR versus 30 mph on the outer lanes will produce a
1294	travel time	of 15 minutes versus 20 minutes, saving the user time. Air pollution will
1295	also be lowe	er as these drivers operate their cars and a constant speed with no stop and
1296	go accelerat	tion, and constant braking.
1297	233.	Also the DAAR, the inner lanes, are theoretically reserved for airport
1298	traffic, in pr	ractice it will be impossible for MWAA to determine who is and who is
1299	not a legitin	nate airport visitor. Ticketless travel is here to stay, and printing out bogus
1300	boarding pa	sses is child's play.
1301	234.	The problem that MWAA is creating for itself with the current

promotion is that its implementation will actually make Dulles Airport more

1302

- congested and harder to access. No airport in the world has been able to improve on the somewhat chaotic find-a-slot system of drivers picking up airline passengers somewhere along the arrival curb. With more drive around traffic, the system will become even more chaotic and frustrating.
- What MWAA should be doing is what every other airport in the world does. That is providing a high speed uncongested highway to the airport, and charge users for it. The current "free" design is actually counterproductive.
- No other airport in the world provides free airport access while taxing and tolling its general purpose users. There is a reason for it: it's a stupid approach.
- At the same time as MWAA charges for airport access, like every other airport in the world, it can "sell off" its surplus inner lane capacity and generate even more money. Make the user fees variable with time to make sure access is unimpeded, following pricing formulas that have proven their effectiveness everywhere else in the world they have been tried.
- Dulles Airport was a pioneering effort. Like most pioneers, it made some serious mistakes in retrospect.
- First, Dulles was planned without parking! Currently parking demand and revenue is so high, that MWAA would not be able to finance its operations, or appeal to the public, without it.

Second, the mobile lounges pioneered by Dulles have been proven to not work as well as longer walks to terminal fingers. Fifty years after they were introduced, there are still no plans to completely replace them.

Third, the access system from the East (DAAR and Route 267) operates on a flawed model that no modern airport has followed (as with the first two mistakes). Modern technology has demonstrated that it is possible to make money on airport access, which users are happy to pay for to reduce the time uncertainty for their flights. At the same time, selling off surplus capacity will generate additional revenue and make local users happy, in that they also enjoy guaranteed travel times at a price which they can elect either to pay or not pay every time they use the corridor,

at their choice. This, finally, is not a tax, since it is a real-timeuser choice. Taxing the

corridor all day, everyday is a tax in that there are no practical alternatives to using

Route 267 for those living and working in the area.

Dulles Greenway

In 1995, the privately financed 12.5-mile Dulles Greenway opened to link the Loudoun County capital town of Leesburg to the western terminus of the Dulles Airport Access Road (the two inner lanes) and the Dulles Toll Road (the outer two lanes, since expanded to four lanes). At a reported construction cost of \$350

million, the road cost \$25 million a mile or \$6 million per lane mile, including all bridges and other structures.

243. The completed road was later sold to the Australian infrastructure company Macquarie at a \$600 million price. At its main toll plaza it reported at 78,000 average daily traffic count in 2005. Toll increases have held per vehicle counts steady or lower since then.

I-495 HOT Lanes

244. The 14 mile project, at a projected cost of \$1.8 billion but likely to cost \$2 billion or more, is a true PPP with Fluor-Daniel (Transurban). It has a pair of congestion tolled inner lanes, the preferred configuration for the Dulles Corridor, but one never studied because the alternatives analysis was dominated by those who had a preconceived idea that only heavy rail do. This interest was stimulated by the \$500 million in private fees and commissions for the rail project (of which \$220 million has already been spent). This enormous "skim" compares with the \$53 million all-in cost for the original four lanes of Route 267, with no more than \$100 million invested later in expansion and improvements.

245. It is the huge guaranteed fees and political novelty of these heavy rail projects which underline their popularity. Take the money now, and pass the bill on to succeeding generations.

In contrast, competitive PPP's and highway projects, the latter of which are subject to the Public Procurement Act, generate far lower levels of fees. So, they are not promoted as much by money hungry consultants and their political supporters. Studies of such proposals as the Honolulu heavy rail project along H-1 in Hawaii have shown that a few percent of these fees end up back into the campaigns of the supporting politicians, although they are so carefully disguised that the actual sums are impossible to trace.

The Asian Airport Experience

Most of the new airports in the world are being constructed in East Asia.

It is the stated desire of state transportation officials to "keep up with the Joneses" with respect to airport access.

248. It is true that new airports in Beijing, Seoul, Shanghai, Hong Kong, Guangzhou, and Singapore have formal rail links with the core city. That does not mean that the rail is well utilized or a sensible use of resources. In fact, for all the stated new terminals, rail access takes twice as long point to point than the superb coach express these state of the art airports offer. These facilities are built with new access roads for which tolls are charged for airport access, instead of providing free access as does Dulles. None of them have an inner free core with tolls charged on commercial general purpose lanes, as does Dulles.

1384	249.	Not only are the coach services faster, they are less expensive than the
1385	rail alternat	ive, with access from the terminals much more convenient than walking
1386	1/5 mile to	the train depot, often with heavy luggage.
1387	250.	That fact is also true even for Narita airport near Tokyo, which has the
1388	most extens	sive rail connections of any international airport in the world. The
1389	coach/expre	ess bus, source to airport, is faster and cheaper.
1390	251.	The Virginia politicians and rail cultists claim that the currently
1391	promoted I	Dulles Airport access system "keeps all the money in the corridor." To
1392	begin with,	this is false. Phase I spends 75% of the money raised in Tysons Corner,
1393	while being	g paid for 75% by Route 267 users. The Tysons promoters, who benefit
1394	from this m	isstatement, often say that Tysons is equivalent to the 12 th largest office
1395	center in the	e country. If this is true, the Reston/Herndon/Route 28 Dulles Corridor
1396	area must ra	ank higher, as it has twice the general commercial buildout than Tysons,
1397	and ten time	es the residential population.
1398	252.	If it were in fact true that the money raised from the continued taxes on
1399	Route 267 v	were to stay in the corridor, it would be better spent paving a hiker biker
1400	trail with go	old. For one thing, gold is likely to increase in value over time, as opposed
1401	to pavemen	t which deteriorates. Second, a gold-paved hiker biker trail would
1402	engender no	annual operating deficits, which in the case of the Silver Line are
1403	projected to	be \$120 million per year. No source for these annual deficits is being
1404	proposed, o	ther than out of general tax revenues.

253. The express coach system so successful in new international airports has never been considered in MWAA. Indeed, the entire thrust of the discredited Environmental Impact statements which disregarded express bus services was that inasmuch as West Falls Church metro stop could not handle any increased transfers (not true in itself), only heavy rail should be considered. The experience of existing express service, such as line 5A (Dulles to L'Enfant Plaza in 43 minutes) was completely disregarded.

Personalities and Conflicts

- How can such a counterproductive waste of money, and a \$20 billion tax increase, have gotten so far? A review of the personalities involved offers some clues.

 Tim Kaine is currently the Governor of Virginia. It was mostly Kaine who arm twisted former Secretary of Transportation to approve the FTA full funding grant agreement as one of her last official acts. The project had been rated unacceptable during countless prior reviews. Our FOIA request for a before and after comparison of the switch revealed no objective rationale for the approval.

 Tim Kaine is married to the daughter of former governor Linwood Holton, who has been paid six figures to lobby for Dulles Rail (although he never registered as a lobbyist). It's never a good idea to offend one's father in law.
- 1423 257. Gerald Connolly, former Fairfax Board Chairman, is now congressman 1424 for the 11th district of Virginia. Connolly's political career has been financed largely

1425	by the Tysons corner billionaire landowners, who stand the most to gain from this
1426	project. (Outside Tysons, the project has never received popular support).
1427	Connolly was conveniently appointed Public Relations Officer by SAIC
1428	three weeks before a critical vote on the alignment of the rail project thru Tysons.
1429	Connolly, who ran the Board with an iron fist, added a fourth stop to the officially
1430	approved three stop alignment, conveniently at the foot of SAIC's 18.4 acre campus
1431	in Tysons. The comprehensive plan was later amended, in retrospect, in secret.
1432	Accordingly, the current alignment is not conforming with the Virginia Special
1433	Transportation District law which requires conformity with the master plan when
1434	formed.
1435	259. Pierce Homer, Secretary of Transportation. Homer's giveaway of the
1436	Route 267 easement without consideration was one of the most outrageous acts ever
1437	committed by a Virginia public official. Homer now spends time traveling the state
1438	pleading for more money for VDOT. His reception has been chilly due to too many
1439	poorly conceived projects like Dulles Rail. Why give money to an agency when they
1440	conspire to raise taxes behind your back and promote boondoggles. Homer has
1441	admitted that he has no idea how to improve inner I-66, the key to better airport
1442	access between Dulles and Reagan. The best solution is shown in Exhibit C, which
1443	has never been properly studied by VDOT.
1444	Frank Wolf, congressman, 10 th District. Mr. Wolf complains repeatedly
1445	about raising talls to \$5 on the Dulles Greenway, a privately funded road whose costs

1446 have not been paid off, but apparently thinks it's a great idea to raise tolls to \$12 one way on a highway which has already more than been paid for. 1447 1448 261. James Bennett, chairman of MWAA. Mr. Bennett is a typical bureaucrat 1449 interested in expanding the scope and power of his agency and in obtaining resources for the two Virginia airports from outside sources, if possible. Unfortunately, his 1450 1451 agency was not set up to operate toll roads, build urban rail systems, or tax the general 1452 business community to benefit the airports. It is arguable that Dulles Rail even if built 1453 is a net negative to the airport since the currently vacant midfield is better utilized for 1454 premium parking and rental cars, the way almost every other airport in the world 1455 operates. If built, patronage at the Dulles Rail station is projected at only 5,000 users a 1456 day, in 2030. This is less than the currently least utilized station in the entire 103-stop 1457 Metro system, in 2009. 1458 Meanwhile, owners of commercial properties, whose oxen are being gored, are 1459 typically foreign institutions (such as REITS) that are so traumatized by the current 1460 recession, they have no change to review the future. With an average holding period 1461 of 5 years, these institutions don't look far into the future. Real estate is just another asset class, with properties to be bought and sold like baseball cards. 1462 1463 262. Promoters of boondoggles typically try to work through shells, in order to insulate elected officials from having to raise taxes. This game plan is being 1464 1465 followed by MWAA, instead of the State of Virginia or the proper PPTA 1466 concessionaire, and in the case of Fairfax County, by the Fairfax Economic

Power Broker.

Development Authority, which states that its alter ego, the County of Fairfax, is not responsible for the failure of bond issues.

263. The drill involves wrapping up all the important details in a bond indenture sold up front, so that future changes become difficult to make (e.g. the current housing finance crisis). Instead of allowing the details of the program to be understood by the taxpaying public, with review and comment periods, the promoters rush thru the financing process, using their newfound "independence" to circumvent normal review and voter approval processes. The fact that at \$20 billion it's the largest tax increase in the history of Virginia seems to make no difference. It's most effective when this pattern occurs in midsummer when many critics are on vacation.

264. In sum, MWAA is a shell for Virginia and Fairfax EDA is a shell for Fairfax County. This structure was set up by the promoters to avoid normal approval processes involved in public finance. It is a modern version of the techniques perfected by Robert Moses of New York and chronicled in Robert Caro's book The

Another part of the shell game is the back end loading of the financing. The idea is to indebt the taxpayers for the indefinite future through a bond indenture, which circumvents the anti-deficiency rules for public bodies. Make the tax increases small at the start and then go up when all current players have been retired and the pain falls on others. This is dishonest public administration and is similar the federal government's passing off on future generations thru high and chronic current deficits.

1507

1488 266. With respect to infrastructure, this country has been built on self 1489 amortizing 30 year revenue bonds (retired at the end of that period) or general taxes 1490 approved by the public in a referendum. Public votes, typically of regional sales taxes, 1491 has been the way financing has happened for rail projects in Los Angeles, San Jose, 1492 Portland, Seattle, Phoenix and many other cities. It is historically unprecedented to 1493 impose the cost of a regional facility on general business taxes in one restricted area. 1494 So the Dulles Rail financing scheme, illegal as it is, has been proven a poor way to 1495 build infrastructure. **COUNTS AND REMEDIES** 1496 1497 **COUNT I** FAILURE TO CONDUCT A PROPER ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS 1498 î **UNDER 49 USC 5309** 1499 1500 (Against Federal Transit Administration) 1501 267. The Dulles heavy rail proposal is proceeding with federal money 1502 supplied under 49 USCS 5309. Section (d), the "New Starts" program. It is required 1503 for this money that the applicant, under (A)(1) (A), conduct an alternatives analysis. 1504 which includes (A) an assessment of a wide range of public transportation alternatives 1505 designed to address a transportation problem in a corridor or subarea." Among the 1506 subfactors required to be addressed under (d)(2)(D) is "(i) congestion relief, ii)

improved mobility, (iii) air pollution, and (v) energy consumption.

1508	268.	The most effective way to reduce congestion is via a shared guideway
1509	congestion	managed surface lanes with variable pricing. These designs have proven to
1510	increase the	ruput wherever they have been tried. The current design of the DAAR and
1511	Route 267	is ideally suited for inexpensive implementation of this approach (see
1512	Exhibit C). A third pair of inner lanes could be added and tolled for congestion
1513	relief, and	to generate income to MWAA. This alternative has never been considered.
1514	269.	Is this a crazy approach? It is being used on the Capital Beltway for 14
1515	miles (I-49	5) and will likely be implemented along I-95 south of DC (53 miles). If it a
1516	good idea f	For these corridors, why not study it for the Dulles Corridor?
1517	270.	Maryland has just issued an alternatives analysis for a similar highway,
1518	I-270 in M	aryland. That study indicated that HOT/general purpose lanes, as outlined
1519	in Exhibit	B herein, is superior to simply extending the existing Red Line in that
1520	corridor. C	onsult http://www.i270multimodalstudy.com/environmental-studies/aaea
1521	for more de	etails.
1522	271.	Another alternative, not studied as part of the DEIS, is formalized just in
1523	time ridesh	aring. Currently such a system carries 44,000 people a day in the Shirley
1524	Highway/I	-95 corridor, at a zero cost to the government or the taxpayers. Since the
1525	projected n	et add of new riders on the WMATA system after the super expensive
1526	constructio	on on the Silver Line in 2030 is only 46,800, it is obvious that this
1527	alternative	be studied.

1528	272.	Instead, the project team brushed off the idea, stating that "no
1529	responsible	transit agency would endorse such a risky approach." This is the same
1530	approach th	nat has worked flawlessly in the Shirley Highway corridor without incident.
1531	However, t	here are no large engineering nor public relation fees associated with
1532	implementi	ing just in time ridesharing on a better planned basis than the ad hoc system
1533	along the S	hirley Highway. (consult www.slug-lines.com for current information).
1534	273.	It is obvious that the entire study of the Dulles Corridor was done in
1535	order to jus	tify a predetermined result, that heavy rail with its huge costs and fees was
1536	the best alto	ernative.
1537	274.	In fact, the forecasts by MWCOG (Metropolitan Washington Council of
1538	Governmer	nts) indicate that only 1,300 passengers per day would use a Dulles Airport
1539	station in 2	030, out of a total 238,000 trips to the airport—about 1 in 200.
1540	275.	1,300 passengers are equivalent to about 40 bus trips. 40 bus trips
1541	accommoda	ate about 7% of the capacity of a single expressway lane in one hour,
1542	which is 60	0 buses or 2,000 cars/lane/hour.
1543	276.	This ridership at 1,300 passengers is lower (in 2030) than the counts at
1544	any of the current 75 or so Metrorail stations including the notoriously little used	
1545	Arlington N	Vational Cemetery station, about which it is joked that only ghosts get in
1546	when the do	oors open.
1547	277.	17 stops are planned between Dulles Airport rail station and Metro
1548	Center in W	Vashington, DC. Airport travelers typically have luggage and the Metro

system with long escalators and turnstiles is likely to be shunned. As at most airports 1549 with rail links, the main users are airline employees shuttling to their car parking 1550 1551 places. 278. All the evidence we have from around the world shows that the best 1552 alternatives were never studied. 1553 279. In terms of Bus Rapid Transit, the DEIS posited the usual BRT strawman 1554 1555 typical of these rail oriented studies and discarded it because it stated that "the transfer system at West Falls Church would not accommodate the influx of buses destined for 1556 rail use." 1557 280. With this simple and misguided goal, the study team simply ignored the 1558 possibility of direct bus service that did not tie into the rail system at all. Examples of 1559 successful services like this are the 5A bus from Dulles Airport which goes directly to 1560 the Pentagon and L'Enfant Plaza in 40 minutes, despite the congestion along inner I-1561 66. 1562 Indeed, the slug system along the Shirley Highway exists because 1563 281. WMATA tried to force corridor commuters into transferring from bus to rail at 1564 1565 Springfield, instead of one-stop trip to downtown DC and the Pentagon. Instead, 1566 commuters set up a private system which was much faster on a door to door basis. It bypassed the heavy rail system entirely, which was bad for WMATA patronage and 1567 1568 revenue, but it was good for users.

1611	288.	Under (d)(2)(D) (iii), air pollution, the current Environmental Impact	
1612	Statement of	does not take into account the source of the energy. When the source of the	
1613	electricity (coal) for heavy rail is examined for its impact on air pollution, versus	
1614	tomorrow's	s fuel efficient vehicles, several eminent scholars have concluded that fixed	
1615	guideway, l	guideway, lightly used, heavy rail will worsen air pollution over modern vehicles	
1616	flowing sm	oothly over congestion managed lanes.	
1617	289.	The effect of both rail and highway traffic in the Corridor must be	
1618	measured,	operating together. The Environmental Impact Statements only considered	
1619	rail operation	ng on its own, not as part of a mix, which is the way the corridor operates	
1620	now and in	the future.	
1621	290.	None of the various environmental impact statements have examined the	
1622	Green Hous	se Gas issue for the entire 23-mile Dulles Corridor (Dulles Airport to	
1623	DC/Reagan	Airport). This is an unacceptable oversight.	
1624			
1625		Remedy Requested.	
1626			
1627	291.	A declaratory judgment that the Alternatives Analysis required under 49	
1628	USC 5309	(a)(1) was insufficient as a matter of law and must be broadened to include	
1629	shared guid	eway congestion managed lanes and a formal just in time ridesharing	
1630	program. W	Thich would improve mobility (under (d)(3)(d)(2) far more than fixed	
1631	guideway ra	ail.	

1632	292.	An order than the existing Environmental Impact Statement be updated
1633	to 1) inclu	de in the energy calculation the energy cost to build the rail system in the
1634	first place,	2) to reflect the energy cost and comparison with today's mandated use of
1635	fuel efficie	nt vehicles.
1636	293.	An injunction against further disbursement of funds under the Full
1637	Funding G	rant Agreement between DOT and MWAA dated March, 2009 until the
1638	deficiencie	es in the Environmental Impact Statement have been corrected.
1639	294.	An injunction against further expenditures on this project until a full
1640	corridor ar	alysis has been done of all alternatives running from Dulles airport to
1641	Reagan air	port.
1642		COUNT II
1643	IN	JUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF FOR IMPOSITION OF
	,I.I. V.	junctive and declaratort relief for init opinion of
1644		AX BY A BODY OF NON-ELECTIVE OFFICIALS, IN VIOLATION
1644 1645		•
		AX BY A BODY OF NON-ELECTIVE OFFICIALS, IN VIOLATION
1645		AX BY A BODY OF NON-ELECTIVE OFFICIALS, IN VIOLATION OF THE VIRGINIA CONSTITUTION
1645 1646	TA 295.	AX BY A BODY OF NON-ELECTIVE OFFICIALS, IN VIOLATION OF THE VIRGINIA CONSTITUTION (Against MWAA)
1645 1646 1647	TA 295.	AX BY A BODY OF NON-ELECTIVE OFFICIALS, IN VIOLATION OF THE VIRGINIA CONSTITUTION (Against MWAA) In reviewing this case, it is important to understand why the parties lie in
1645 1646 1647	295. their current 296.	AX BY A BODY OF NON-ELECTIVE OFFICIALS, IN VIOLATION OF THE VIRGINIA CONSTITUTION (Against MWAA) In reviewing this case, it is important to understand why the parties lie in and positions
1645 1646 1647 1648	295. their current 296. unfortunate	AX BY A BODY OF NON-ELECTIVE OFFICIALS, IN VIOLATION OF THE VIRGINIA CONSTITUTION (Against MWAA) In reviewing this case, it is important to understand why the parties lie in int positions Urban rail projects such as the proposed Silver line have had an

- 1653 fans of the Simpsons television series understand this. The episode where Marge
- 1654 challenged a thinly disguised promoter of the Bechtel school was the fifth most
- popular episode in that history's series. Marge was insisting that town fix potholes in
- 1656 Main Street rather than build a fancy new rail system. It was entitled Marge and the
- 1657 Monorail. Marge won.
- Recently, a spate of scholarly analysis of such projects have appeared.
- One of the authors, Bent Flyberg, analyzed the economics of all urban rail projects for
- which projections and actual results have been reported. His conclusion, reported in
- the book Megaprojects and Risk, stated that "lying" was the only explanation for the
- existence of several of these projects.
- 1663 298. Even if you don't believe that actual lying has occurred in the Silver Line
- promotion—and with the emergence of video and UTube out front, lying has become
- more difficult for politicians—the agreed upon numbers speak for themselves.
- 1666 299. There will be no reduction in congestion either on Route 267 or any
- adjacent highways.
- 1668 300. The proportion of the total project cost to be financed with taxes on toll
- road users (the mislabeled "state share", which is really a local share) has gone from
- 1670 25% to 75%.
- 1671 301. The budget for Phase I has gone from \$1.6 billion to \$3.1 billion in five
- years, with no guarantee it won't go higher.

302. 1673 Phase II will not receive any federal money, so it will depend even more 1674 heavily on taxes on toll road users. 303. Cost comparison of comparable megaprojects. 1675 1676 Panama Canal: went to national referendum, doubling capacity approved at an 1677 advertised \$5.1 billion cost for 50 miles of waterway, or \$100 million per mile. 1678 Llasa-Golmud railway\ 1679 1,142 km. 33 billion yuan cost (\$4-5 billion), or less than \$10 million per mile. 1680 Highest station 5000 meters. 1681 20,000 workers. – at \$20 k per year, 100,000 man hours, built over 1682 Five years. \$2 billion labor, \$2 billion material (roughly) 1683 Hangzhou Bay Bridge 1684 22 miles, \$1.4 billion. This is the longest over water span in the world. Cost: 1685 \$130 million per mile. 1686 Subway tunneling in Shanghai-- \$100 million per mile 1687 Katy Freeway in Houston—about \$120 million per mile. 1688 304. It must be understood that the sole reason that MWAA is involved in this 1689 project in the first place is that nervous politicians tried to hide the ball from their tax 1690 shy constituents. Their way out was to pass the hot potato to an unelected authority, which had absolute authority to jack local taxes as high as they needed to avoid 1691 1692 putting in any MWAA money. That was the whole idea. It was just a scheme to

- insulate the politicians from the effects of inevitable tax increases resulting from this boundoggle.

 Unfortunately for the promoters, since the transfer (for no
- 1695 305. Unfortunately for the promoters, since the transfer (for no
 1696 consideration!) of Route 267 to MWAA in 2006, the Supreme Court of Virginia has
 1697 invalidated such a financing approach based on their interpretation of the Virginia
 1698 Constitution. Since the Virginia constitution is the highest authority that exists in
 1699 Virginia, the Supreme Court's decision is Marshall et al. V. Northern Virginia
 1700 Transportation Authority (NVTA) et. al, 275 Va. 419 (February 2008) must be
 1701 respected.
- That opinion states that Section 11 of the Virginia Constitution means what it says: "No bill which... imposes, continues, or revives a tax, shall be passed except by the affirmative vote of a majority of all the members elected to each house....
- 1706 307. Article VII, Section 7 provides: "No ordinance... imposing taxes... shall
 1707 be passed except by a recorded affirmative vote of a majority of all members elected
 1708 to the governing body."
- The NVTA, whose activities were enjoined with respect to imposition of taxes, consisted of the chief elected officers of the governing body for each named county and city, two members of the House of Delegates appointed by the Speaker of the House, one member of the Senate appointed by the Senate Committee on Rules, and two citizens appointed by the Governor. The Virginia Supreme Court declared

- these individuals non elected and powerless to enact taxation on their own.
- 1715 309. The NVTA composition was much more representative of actual
- political reality than is the case with the MWAA Board. The latter is composed of
- individuals who are specifically required not to hold elective or appointive public
- office (Va. Cod§5.1-155 (B). As such, they are not "members elected to [a] governing
- body' within the meaning of the Virginia Constitution according to that Court's ruling
- in the Marshall case.
- The court goes on to state" We view these provisions of the Constitution
- with special regard for the detailed and explicit oversight that the framers provided
- 1723 regarding the General Assembly's exercise and delegation of its legislative power of
- taxation... We observe that Article IV, Section 1 places the legislative power of the
- 1725 Commonwealth in the General Assembly... In fact, greater restrictions are placed on
- the taxing power than are placed on the exercise of most other types of legislative
- 1727 power."
- Their holding was that "we conclude that the Constitution, in keeping
- with rights enumerated in Article I, section 6 of the Constitution's Bill of Rights,
- clearly contemplates that taxes must be imposed only by a majority of the elected
- 1731 representatives of a legislative body with the votes cast by the elected representatives
- being duly recorded."
- 1733 312. MWAA's imposition of the rail tax totally violates this constitutional
- 1734 requirement. It makes no difference that MWAA may have been established with

1735	concurrent (and identical) statutory authority by the District and Virginia. Off airport
1736	property and away from "Authority facilities", such as Tysons Corner, MWAA has no
1737	ability to establish a rail tax and promote it as a user fee. For reasons stated below, the
1738	costs of Route 267 have long been paid for, and any further collection of revenues
1739	from Route 267 is a tax that simply cannot be imposed by a nonelected group such as
1740	MWAA.
1741	The brazen attempt by an unholy coalition of good old boys in Richmond
1742	with conflicted public officials in Fairfax County has resulted in an end run around the
1743	requirement for projects of this sort to receive public approval in an open referendum.
1744	The increase in per transaction fees along the Dulles Toll Road is obviously a tax in
1745	that the proceeds of such enhanced revenues are not being spent for the purpose for
1746	which the original fees were intended. The purpose of the original fees as stated above
1747	was to build a highway, finance it with bonds, and pay off the bonds and remove the
1748	fees as soon as possible. This is what happened to several such tolls in the Richmond
1749	area, including one at an exit ramp from I-95. (Traffic congestion in Richmond
1750	consists of a few cars in front of you at a stoplight at rush hour).
1751	The last such attempt at circumventing voter approval of such a tax was
1752	the financing for the Fairfax County Parkway. The history of this effort was
1753	summarized in the second Virginia Supreme Court opinion in <u>Dykes v. Northern</u>
1754	Virginia Transportation District Commission, 242 Va. 357 (1991). The first appeal of
1755	the Virginia Supreme Court resulted in a decision that the convoluted process set up

1756

1757

1758

1759

1760

1761

1762

1763

1764

1765

1766

1767

1768

1769

1770

1771

1772

1773

1774

177.5

1776

improperly violated the constitutional procedure of Article VII, 10 (b) that required that "no debt shall be contracted by a county except as authorized by the General Assembly and that the General Assembly shall not authorize any such debt unless the issue is submitted to the voters." In that instance, a Commission was set up, authorized and created by statute, comprised of three cities and four counties. This commission was the issuer of \$330 million in bonds. After an initial disapproving ruling, the court reversed itself and declared this subterfuge legal because the debt was not the legal obligation of any one county—the payment obligation was moral obligation only. The dissent stated: "Never before has this Court validated a bond issue like the one in question. I find the scheme employed by the County to be a shocking, patent attempt to circumvent and nullify the requirement of voter approval contained in § 10 (b). 315. The sums involved in Dykes was \$330 million. The revenue bonds proposed by WMATA will exceed \$4 billion. Does it really make any difference whether the bonds are the moral or official obligation of a city or county? Whatever the hair splitting, both the General Assembly and the voters affected must approve the imposition of the tax. With the Dulles Rail promotion, neither the General Assembly or any local elected government has formally approved these taxes, nor have the voters and Dulles Corridor businessmen and residents been asked to approve. 316. The reason is simple. If the voters had the choice between: No rail and no tolls forever, and Rail and higher tolls forever. Most would choose the former, as

1777	the drafters of the Virginia Revenue bond statute. If that happened, what becomes of
1778	the embedded pork?
1779	The contempt shown by conflicted local leaders in Northern Virginia has
1780	an even earlier example. The Loudoun County administrative building in Leesburg
1781	was contracted for without voter approval.
1782	It is apparent from reading the proposed bond prospectus dated July 21,
1783	2009 that MWAA has taken the position that it can raise taxes along the Dulles
1784	Corridor to any level it pleases. Indeed, in the traffic study accompanying the
1785	prospectus, the highest revenues are achieved at a main plaza rate of \$12 per trip—15
1786	times the current level. See Exhibit H for MWAA's brazen assertion that it has the
1787	power to raise tolls along Route 267 to any level it pleases, regardless of the damage
1788	inflicted on the surrounding, non-airport property. Their response to the pain will be
1789	"it is required under our bond indenture that this money be raised": \$1,500,000 a day
1790	from the commercial users of surrounding property, to start.
1791	Nowhere has any legislative body given MWAA the ability to raise taxes
1792	to an unfettered degree, free from any oversight or constraint.
1793	
1794	
1795	
1796	
1797	

1798		Count III
1799	AGAINST	F FTA BECAUSE LOCAL FUNDING AGREEMENTS HAVE NOT
1800		BEEN RATIFIED
1801	320.	The FTA requires periodic assessments of various phases of "New
1802	Starts" proje	cts as they progress through the application pipeline.
1803	321.	One of the weaknesses of the Dulles Rail plan has always been the state
1804	of the state a	and local support. This phase of the project has always received a low-
1805	medium ratio	ng (until the final score sheet of May 2008, when the rating was jacked up
1806	one notch fo	r reasons that FOIA requests have failed to find justified in any FTA
1807	analysis—it	was a pure political sop).
1808	322.	According to all the financing documents, and agreements between
1809	MWAA and	the FTA as a condition for receiving \$900 million in federal money, the
1810	project spon	sors (now MWAA) have promised that Fairfax County will come up with
1811	16.1% of the	total money. Since the total cost of both phases is certain to exceed \$6
1812	billion, Fairf	ax would have to commit to almost \$1 billion in guarantees. They have
1813	agreed to do	so at various times.
1814	323.	However, these general obligation bonds must, under Virginia law, be
1815	approved in	a local referendum after authorization by the General Assembly. This
1816	simply has n	ot happened.
1817		

1818	324	Fairfax is attempting to surtax certain properties with a maximum cap of
1819	\$400 million. It has thus secured sources other than from general revenue of less than	
1820	½ its total obligations.	
1821	325.	Even this targeted surtax, in the form of a surtax on properties designed
1822	in the Dulle	s Special Rail Transportation District (Phase I), has been challenged in
1823	court with t	wo separate lawsuits. There is no guarantee at this point that Fairfax will
1824	derive any r	money for its 16.1% share other than general tax revenue.
1825	326.	Accordingly, until the local share is on firmer footing, the FTA needs to
1826	suspend fur	ther payments under the FFGA until this situation is resolved.
1827		
1828		Remedy requested
1829	327.	An injunction against further payouts of federal money from the FTA
1830	under the Fl	FGA until Fairfax has properly secured approval to pay its obligated share
1831	of 16.1% of	the total project cost.
1832		
1833		
1834		
1835		
1836		
1837		
1838		

1839	COUNTIV
1840	BREACH OF LEASE BETWEEN FAA AND MWAA
1841	(Against FAA and MWAA)
1842	328. Breach of lease between FAA and MWAA in that MWAA in its corridor
1843	design fails to operate the two airports (Washington National and Dulles "as a unit",
1844	as required by the terms of the 1983 lease between the FAA and MWAA. This
1845	purpose is specifically mentioned in D.C. Code §9-905 (a) in the purposes section:
1846	"for the purposes of acquiring, operating, maintaining, improving, promoting and
1847	protecting Washington National Airport and Washington Dulles International Airport
1848	together as primary airports for public purposes serving the metropolitan Washington
1849	area" (emphasis supplied).
1850	The equivalent cite in the Virginia Code is §5.1-156.
1851	Another statement of the necessity to review MWAA funded
1852	improvements is found on page 7 of the March, 1987 lease of the two airports to
1853	MWAA. The language reads as follows:
1854	
1855	"The Secretary, on behalf of the United States of America, hereby demises and
1856	leases to the Airports Authority the two Metropolitan Washington Airport
1857	properties as a unit, including access highways (emphasis supplied).
1858	It is worth noting that MWAA rests its authority on the lease. The
1859	federal government did not grant the "authority facilities" in fee simple, but leased

them pursuant to the March, 1987 lease. This is evidence of the desire for the federal government to control the operation and development of the two airports, and their access, more closely than would be possible with a grant in deed, even one with recorded covenants.

332. It is simply suboptimal to have two large airports near one another and not have them complement their services to benefit the traveling public. One airport or another gets shut down with accidents, weather, or bomb threats. Having a nearby airport with backup service is highly desirable, but the advantage is theoretical if

With sufficiently convenient and predictable ground access, for the traveling public, it's like having one larger airport with 40 million passengers a year.

travel time between the two is so uncertain as currently exists.

The way to make this happen is for the region to get together and solve the inner-I-66 problem, which has bedeviled all of us for the past three decades. This can be done by conducting a proper "corridor" analysis, the corridor being defined as Dulles Airport to Reagan Airport. An example of such a study, which should have been required by the FTA as part of the alternatives analysis, is that done for I-405, east of Seattle. (See Exhibit A).

335. This is possible with vertical construction. Stacking transportation modes on top of each other has now become popular with modern equipment. The most prominent current example is the Tampa Skyway, an elevated three lane highway east of Tampa, whose direction changes to follow rush hour traffic.

1881 336. The inner I-66 implementation would be either to elevate or depress the current Orange Line west of Ballston. With the 60' of right of way thus saved at the 1882 1883 surface, 4 freeway compliant lanes (at12' federally mandated widths), 4 additional 1884 lanes could be constructed. 1885 337. These lanes could be time of day tolled. At the prices projected to 1886 support time savings, each roadway lane thus produced would have a value of \$9 million per mile. 4 lane miles times 9 miles of thus recovered right of way would 1887 enable \$250 million of value created and paid into by the private sector. 1888 1889 338. Another alternative, still more cost effective, would be to terminate Orange Line service at the last underground stop in Ballston and offload all 1890 passengers to local and express buses. These buses would use the congestion managed 1891 lanes and would operate at 60 mph, versus the 35 mph that the Orange Line currently 1892 1893 achieves. 339. 1894 This solution would obviate any necessity to buy more rail cars, at \$2.4 1895 million per car, and reduce the cost to renovate the Orange Line, which would now be much shorter. 1896 1897 340. Examples of this type of transfer can be found in the underground bus tunnels in Seattle and Harvard Square in Cambridge, Mass. 1898 1899 341. In short, the Dulles to Reagan corridor needs to be studied as a whole 1900 (23 miles), not just the DTR section (10 miles). An excellent example of such a study

1901	has just been finished in the I-405 corridor study for north south transportation just
1902	east of Seattle.
1903	In Maryland, the state has just announced a \$4.2 billion proposal to add
1904	HOT lanes to the inside of I-270. The I-270 corridor is a close analog of the Dulles
1905	Corridor. The solutions are similar. Virginia has begun building a network of HOT
1906	lanes, and the DTR segment needs to be a part of it. A properly designed HOT lane
1907	configuration will avoid a catastrophic breakdown of the I-495/DTR interchange, as
1908	will inevitably happen with present plans (see illustration).
1909	Remedy Requested:
1910	343. Injunction against MWAA to spend money until it has proposed and
1911	justified a way of operating the two airports as a unit.
1912	
1913	
1914	
1915	
1916	
1917	
1918	
1919	
1920	
1921	

1922	COUNT V
1923	IMPROPER USE OF FEDERAL MONEY IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL
1924	HIGHWAY STANDARDS FOR FREEWAYS OF THE DESIGN SPEED FOR
1925	ROUTE 267
1926	(Against FHWA, MWAA)
1927	344. It is simply intolerable to have the main access between the international
1928	airport of the nation's capital and its downtown core served by a highway segment
1929	which is of substandard design and construction.
1930	345. If the Silver Line uses any federal money in a corridor which is owned
1931	by the Federal Government, and has been built with federal money, then it needs to
1932	comply with current federal standards for Interstate Highways. These standards have
1933	been followed with the 1984 construction of the New Segment between I-495 and I-
1934	66. Federal standards have been followed on I-495 and I-66 themselves.
1935	346. If for some reason MWAA wishes to continue in its corridor
1936	development role, a role for which it has no training nor expertise, then it needs to
1937	obtain a waiver of federal highway design standards from the FHWA.
1938	There is no question that VDOT must certify to the appropriate branch of
1939	FHWA that the proposed transit use will not preclude future highway improvements
1940	or plans. Part of these plans is the standards complaint expansion of the inner lanes
1941	(the current DAAR), which, as stated above, is impossible with the train right of way
1942	taking so much land. Federal law requires such a certification before FHWA will

1943	grant permission to use the highway right of way for other than highway uses, such as
1944	transit. This certification has neither been requested nor received.
1945	Remedy Requested:
1946	
1947	An injunction against further expenditure of federal money within the
1948	400' strip of land leased from the FAA absent approval of highway improvement
1949	plans by the FHWA, or a waiver by the FHWA if such a waiver is found to be in the
1950	public interest.
1951	
1952	COUNT VI
1953	IMPROPER OPERATION AND ACTIVITY OF MWAA BEYOND THE
1954	PERMITTED SCOPE OF ITS ACTIVITES
1955	(Against MWAA)
1956	Improper operation and activity of MWAA beyond the permitted scope
1957	of its activities, which are confined to the 250' strip of tolled highway known as
1958	Virginia Route 267, and the 150' strip of nontolled highway known as the Inner
1959	Lanes. In particular, 75% of the expenditure of Phase I of the currently planned Dulles
1960	is planned outside the scope of its permitted activity.
1961	The current plans call for most of the cost of Phase One of the Silver
1962	Line to be incurred on private land far from the 400' strip of land leased to MWAA.

- 1963 351. In fact, substantial construction will occur 1 mile away from this 400'
- right of way.
- 1965 352. While MWAA has the right to condemn private land, it has not done so,
- and does not propose to do so.
- 1967 353. In the absence of condemnation, MWAA is bound by its enabling statute,
- which permits MWAA activity only on "airport property" (Virginia Code §5.1-156
- 1969 (2), "to construct or permit the construction of commercial and other facilities
- consistent with the purpose of the purposes of this act upon the airport property....")
- or improve "authority facilities" which is defined in Va. Code §5.1-152 as "any or all
- airport facilities now existing or hereafter acquired or constructed by the Authority...
- including the existing Dulles Airport Access Road and its right-of way...."." The
- equivalent statutory references in the D.C. Code are §9-905 (12) and 9-901 (1).
- 1975 354. MWAA was never set up to operate the Dulles Corridor as a mixed use
- 1976 corridor, nor to construct and urban railway on private land 6 miles from the nearest
- 1977 airport. It must respect its statutory authority.
- 1978 355. The state of Virginia, which gave away the easement and improvements
- known as Route 267 for nothing, is quite incorrect when they state that the money
- raised in the corridor will stay in the corridor. Tysons Corner is not part of the FAA
- owned right of way leased to MWAA, and never will be.
- 1982 356. In recent statements, James Bennett, MWAA chairman, has stated that
- 1983 he intends to use bond proceeds from securitizing Toll Road debt to fund

1984 improvements in Route 606, which provides airport access from the west. This land, as well, is beyond the scope of MWAA's permitted activity. MWAA is not a 1985 substitute general purpose Highway or Rail agency and has no authority to do 1986 anything outside "Airport Property", as formally defined by statute. 1987 1988 357. In Boston, a similar situation has arisen with respect to the use of tolls on 1989 the Massachusetts Turnpike Extension (I-90 east) to fund improvements just to the 1990 east, incurred from the Big Dig, which operates toll free (other than the bridges and 1991 tunnels). There, more than half the toll revenues from paying customers of the Mass 1992 Pike go to subsidize the free trips on what is now I-93 (replacing the Southeast 1993 Expressway). The total diversion to date is about \$400 million, on par with the past 1994 diversion of excess Route 267 tolls to fund rail studies. Consult 1995 www.tollequitytrust.com for latest developments and the discussion on the tax/toll 1996 distinction. 1997 358. If the Route 267 tax is allowed to continue and be increased, the total 1998 diversion will be on the order of \$4 billion (75% of the total cost of Phase I and Phase 1999 II). 2000 359. A group of plaintiffs have formed what they call the Toll Equity Trust and are challenging this diversion in court. It is a very similar situation: toll payers on 2001 2002 a highway west of the property benefited are having most of their toll revenue spent to 2003 benefit property east of the taxed/tolled highway.

2004	360.	In the situation in Tysons, 80% of the traffic entering and exiting Tysons
2005	will be from	n the east, north, and south, or from the west, free, via Route 7. Yet, 75%
2006	of the cost of	of rebuilding the Tysons infrastructure is coming from tolls/taxes on Route
2007	267. This is	an even more extreme fact situation than that being addressed in Boston.
2008	361.	Also, in Boston, the legislature had given the Massachusetts Turnpike
2009	Authority o	ver both the taxed/tolled I-90 and the nontaxed I-93. An editorial in the
2010	Boston Glo	be summarized the situation: "Motorists coming from west of the city and
2011	from the No	orth Shore pay dearly while those from the south get a free ride. Just how
2012	heavily can	drivers at the Weston and Allston-Brighton tolls be expected to subsidize
2013	the rides of	other drivers passing along the Central Artery from the Southeast
2014	Expressway	·?"
2015	362.	Replace the Boston highway names with I-495 and the (free) FTA-
2016	constructed	connector road between I/495/Route 123 and I-66 (all free) and the
2017	analogy is e	exact.
2018	363.	It must be noted that MWAA has no institutional experience with urban
2019	rail nor high	nway corridors. Its board consists of part time appointed officials, and any
2020	expertise or	atside airport operations must be hired. William Coleman, the former
2021	federal Secr	etary of Transportation, called MWAA "incompetent" for this task.
2022	364.	In sum, MWAA has no authority to tax the general public for activities
2023	not having a	any connection with Airport operations (DTR toll payers). Furthermore,
2024	MWAA has	s no authority to spend money, within or without airport property, for non-

2025	airport purposes. 75% of the cost of Phase I of the Silver Line is proposed to be raised	
2026	from taxing the general public using the DTR for normal commercial purposes (since	
2027	MWAA proposes to exempt airport users from any access fees, unlike almost all other	
2028	airports around the world). 75% of the expenditure at the same time will be on	
2029	property away from airport property and the DAAR (namely, in Tysons Corner).	
2030	MWAA simply has no general powers to tax and operate outside of Airport property	
2031	and for the use and benefit of airport operations.	
2032		
2033	Remedy Requested:	
2034	A declaratory judgment that MWAA be confined in its construction	
2035	activities to "airport property" or "airport facilities" as defined in the enabling statues,	
2036	Va. Code §5.1-152 and DC Code §9-901.et seq.	
2037	An injunction against expenditure of money by MWAA outside of	
2038	Airport Property and away from Authority Facilities, as such terms are defined in the	
2039	enabling statutes.	
2040	COUNT VII	
2041	IMPROPER OPERATION AND ACTIVITY OF MWAA IN BUILDING AN	
2042	URBAN RAILWAY SECTION NOT RELATED TO ITS STATUTORY	
2043	PURPOSE UNDER ITS ENABLING LEGISLATION	
2044	(Against MWAA)	

2045	367. Improper operation and activity of MWAA in that building an urban		
2046	railway, in some cases one mile and more beyond the physical limits of the DAAR.		
2047	The current plans are beyond the permitted scope of MWAA which is		
2048	limited to "airport activity". The western terminus of the proposed Phase I is 6 miles		
2049	away from Dulles Airport.		
2050	The situation contrasts with the construction of the Automated People		
2051	Mover at Dulles, the cost and use of which is entirely contained within Airport		
2052	Property. No general tax revenues are involved, nor is construction taking place away		
2053	from Airport Property.		
2054	Remedy Requested:		
2055	An injunction against any construction approved or authorized by		
2056	MWAA as the project sponsor outside the 400' boundaries of the original FAA lease		
2057	for the Dulles Airport Access Highway.		
2058			
2059	COUNT VIII		
2060	VIOLATION OF THE OPEN MEETING AND FOIA REQUIREMENTS		
2061	WITH RESPECT TO OPERATION OF ANY AGENCY OR AUTHORITY IN		
2062	VIRGINIA		
2063			
2064	(Against Virginia Department of Transportation)		
2065			

2066	371.	Violation of the open meeting and FOIA requirements with respect to the
2067	operation o	f any agency or authority in Virginia. In particular, MWAA has
2068	consistently	hidden the reality that its current financial plan calls for 75% of the cost
2069	of Dulles R	ail, Phases I and II, to be generated from continued escalation of tolls on
2070	Route 267.	They have hidden the fact that the level of tolls necessitated will constitute
2071	a crippling	burden of taxation that will permanently impair the economic growth and
2072	prosperity of	of the Dulles Corridor.
2073	372.	The enabling legislation established that MWAA could sue and be sued
2074	in its name.	This conclusively establishes that MWAA could not operate in secret and
2075	claim sover	reign immunity over any challenges to its activities as ultra vires, or for any
2076	other reason	n.
2077	373.	Va. Code § 5.1-173 provides in (A) that "The courts of the
2078	Commonwo	ealth of Virginia shall have original jurisdiction of all actions brought by or
2079	against the	Authority, which courts shall in all cases apply the law of the
2080	Commonwo	ealth of Virginia." This paragraph strongly implies that MWAA enjoys no
2081	exemption	from the public information requirements of Virginia FOIA (Va. Code
2082	§2.2-3700 e	et. seq.). As such, it needs to provide current information to those who have
2083	properly red	quested it at the same time it is made available to MWAA staff or board
2084	members.	
2085	374.	Much of the information on which this complaint is based has been
2086	obtained fro	om third parties, instead of from MWAA as required by FOIA law. For

example, the bond rating information organized by Morgan Stanley, the lead underwriter, has just been released and not analyzed. This combination of rush-rush tactics in the middle of the summer, and inadequate review time, will necessitate the filing of an amended and restated complaint once the inconsistencies between the various documents have been reviewed (such as the overall budget, showing a \$500 million discrepancy; see Exhibits I and J.

2093 Remedy requested:

375. A declaratory judgment that MWAA is subject to federal and Virginia

2095 FOIA laws.

An order requiring that all prior, current, and future documentation and records regarding the taxing and construction involving Route 267 be provided any person properly requesting the same.

2100 COUNT IX

THE IMPOSITION OF THE VIRGINIA PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP

ACT IN THIS APPLICATION IS INCORRECT

2103 (Against Virginia Department of Transportation)

377. The imposition of the Virginia Public Private Partnership Act in this application is incorrect, in that the State has engineered a sole source, noncompetitive contract with a private entity without the requirement of the private entity putting up any capital nor sharing any risk in terms of cost overruns or operational deficits.

2108	378. Virginia, and VDOT, will maintain that the MWAA proposal is not a
2109	PPTA. However, if it is not, then the transfer of valuable state property out of the
2110	ownership of the Commonwealth requires the approval of the General Assembly. This
2111	has never occurred.
2112	
2113	Remedy Requested:
2114	
2115	Rebid the project on a competitive basis, unless and until a contracting
2116	party agrees to put up capital or otherwise share the risk of the venture either for
2117	delivery cost or operating results. Toll any activity on the DTR easement portion until
2118	proper compensation has been received, again after proper approval by the Virginia
2119	General Assembly.
2120	
2121	COUNT X
2122	VIOLATION OF THE VIRGINIA PPP ACT (1995)
2123	(Against Virginia Department of Transportation)
2124	Violation of the Virginia PPP Act of 1995 and the associated regulations
2125	(Implementation Guidelines, 2001) in hiding the vote and reasons therefore which
2126	justified the sudden burial of an already approved Bus Rapid Transit project in favor
2127	of a speculative rail venture.

2128 381. The Public-Private Transportation Act of 1995 (Virginia Code §§56-556 2129 et seq.) was adopted for the purpose of fulfilling "a public need for timely acquisition 2130 or construction of and improvements to transportation facilities within the 2131 Commonwealth..." (§56-558(1)) 2132 382. The Act contemplated private entities obtaining approval of the 2133 Commonwealth to "acquire, contract, improve, maintain and/or operate a 2134 transportation facility." (§56-560) 2135 383. The Commonwealth Transportation Board (hereafter "CTB") through its Commissioner promulgated "procedural guidelines... to guide the selection of 2136 projects under the purview of the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and 2137 the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT)." These 2138 guidelines were revised in April 2001, but the pertinent applicable provisions are the 2139 2140 same as were in effect in 1999. 2141 Although under the Public-Private Transportation Act ("PPTA") the 384. process of selecting private entities for projects is not subject to the Public 2142 2143 Procurement Act, VA. Code § 2.2-4300 et seq., selection procedures must be consistent with that Act's requirements for either procurement through competitive 2144 bidding or procurement of other than professional services as set forth under § 2.2-2145 4301. As to unsolicited project proposals, therefore, the IG provide that once an 2146 2147 unsolicited submission by a private entity is determined to meet the initial criteria for

2148	a project, the agency must provide	notice of the proposal and solicit competing
2149	proposals before further considerate	tion of the project. (I.G., p. 3)
2150	385. The procedures adopt	ted to approve, reject, or choose a proposal from a
2151	private entity, and thereafter to bui	ild and operate a transportation facility, after public
2152	notice, is a four phase process; (see	e incorporated Exhibit 1)
2153	<u> </u>	First Phase: The conceptual proposal is
2154	s	ubmitted for pre- qualification review by the Initial
2155	R	Review Committee "which will determine in its sole
2156	d	liscretion in writing whether the one or more
2157	c	conceptual proposals are complete, whether the one
2158	o	or more proposers are qualified, and whether the
2159	p	project as proposed appears in one or more cases
2160	to	echnically and financially feasible. The Initial
2161	R	Review Committee will forward only those
2162	р	proposals satisfying its standards to the
2163	C	Commonwealth Transportation Board." (IG p.18 –
2164	1	9)
2165	<u>S</u>	Second Phase: Review and
2166	a	pproval/rejection by the Commonwealth
2167	Т	Transportation Board. (IG p. 19)

2168		Third Phase:	Submission of proposal for
2169		evaluation and recor	nmendation of the Public-
2170		Private Transportation	on Advisory Panel. (IG p. 19 –
2171		20)	
2172		Fourth Phase:	Final selection of the
2173		successful proposer	by the Commonwealth
2174		Transportation Com	missioner. (IG p. 21)
2175	386. In December 199	98, Raytheon Enginee	ers and Contractors submitted an
2176	unsolicited PPTA proposal for	r Bus Rapid Transit "	(BRT)" on mostly existing
2177	highway lanes with build-outs	s of stations along the	route for the plan known as the
2178	"Dulles Corridor Rapid Trans	it Project." The statio	ons could later be used for rail if
2179	financing became available. I	In January 1999, the T	Sysons-Dulles Corridor Group
2180	(i.e. primarily Bechtel and We	est Group, corporation	ns which own and develop land
2181	at Tysons Corner) submitted a	a competing rapid tran	sit proposal which was for
2182	heavy rapid rail.		
2183	387. These two propo	sals were submitted to	the Initial Review Committee
2184	for the required First Phase re	view and action in acc	cordance with the
2185	Implementation Guidelines ad	lopted and published t	for that purpose.
2186	388. In July of 1999, t	the Initial Review Con	mmittee approved Raytheon's
2187	Bus Rapid Transit approach o	ver the "rail only" pro	posal of the Dulles Corridor

2188	Rapid Tran	sit Project on a 6-1 vote. The BRT proposal was thereby advanced to	
2189	the CTB fo	r Phase Two of the IG process. (See incorporated Exhibit 2)	
2190	389.	In August 1999, the Dulles Corridor BRT project was selected as one	
2191	of the Federal Transit Administration (hereafter "FTA") Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)		
2192	Demnstrati	on Projects.	
2193	390.	FTA's BRT Demonstration Program is intended to foster the	
2194	developme	nt of BRT systems in the United States, address BRT planning,	
2195	implementa	ation and operational issues, and evaluate system performance in a wide	
2196	range of op	erating environments.	
2197	391.	Thereafter significant appropriations (\$90.93 million through Fiscal	
2198	Year 2001)	were made available and considerable federal funding was	
2199	contemplate	ed each year thereafter.	
2200	392.	In August 2000, the CTB formally advanced the BRT proposal to the	
2201	PPTA Advi	isory Panel which is required for Phase Three consideration under the	
2202	Implementi	ing Guidelines (IG).	
2203	393.	Following CTB action, Raytheon and the Tysons-Dulles Corridor	
2204	Group com	bined efforts to jointly develop a PPTA rail proposal.	
2205	394.	The Washington Group acquired Raytheon Engineers and Contractors	
2206	from the pa	rent Raytheon Corporation. Thereafter, the new PPTA partners	
2207	(Bechtel, W	Vashington Group, i.e. Raytheon, and West Group) formed a limited	
2208	liability cor	npany called Dulles Transit Partners.	

2209	395.	In October 2000, D	ulles Transit Partners submitted its first detailed
2210	proposal to	the Commonwealth.	This proposal was essentially a detailed version of
2211	the rejected	l heavy "rail only" pro	oposal, not of the approved conceptual bus rapid
2212	transit prop	oosal which had receiv	ved approval for federal funding and which
2213	provided, a	s distinguished from	the rail only concept, an immediate and less
2214	expensive s	solution to the Dulles	Corridor transportation needs.
2215	396.	In February 2001, t	he PPTA Advisory Panel recommended that DRPT
2216	proceed to	negotiate a comprehe	nsive agreement with the Dulles Transit Partners to
2217	develop a f	inancing plan and per	form engineering based on the heavy "rail only"
2218	proposal. A	As a result, notice was	s never given of, and competitive proposals were
2219	never solic	ited for the "rail only"	' proposal, as clearly required under the PPTA and
2220	IG in order	to avoid the statutory	requirement of a PPTA procedural substitute for
2221	soliciting c	ompetitive bids under	the Procurement Act.
2222	397.	The Dulles Transit	Partners new proposal; submitted after First Phase
2223	IRC approv	val of BRT and rejecti	ion of the rail only proposal, was not the Bus Rapid
2224	Demonstra	tion Project under the	FTA New Starts program, which has received
2225	previous ap	pproval and appropria	tions, but instead constituted an extension of
2226	obsolete rai	il technology and desi	ign embodied in the original Metro "kiss-and-ride"
2227	1960's mod	del. The new proposa	d, supported by defendant Fairfax County, is an
2228	outdated, ex	xpensive heavy rail tr	ansit plan which would, at a huge cost to the
2229	taxpayer fa	r larger by multiples (than by that of BRT, mostly benefit the vested

2230	interests primarily of West Group, the primary owner, builder, and operator of
2231	buildings in Tysons Corner, and its public and private affiliates, consultants,
2232	landowners and allies – including the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit
2233	Authority ("WMATA" also known as "Metro") which operates the current
2234	metropolitan rail system.
2235	398. In early spring of 2001, the General Assembly passed legislation,
2236	supported by Fairfax County, and later signed by Governor, which permitted the
2237	creation of a special tax district by the county to partially fund its share of a
2238	transportation project. See Virginia Code § 33.1-4 et seq.
2239	The DRPT decided the new plan must include the entire Dulles
2240	Corridor as per the scope of the originally approved BRT Demonstration Project,
2241	and it therefore required a series of financing and implementation studies to be
2242	completed.
2243	400. On May 31, 2002, Dulles Transit Partners revised its rail proposal and
2244	submitted it to the current Secretary of Transportation. The new rail-only proposal
2245	was not sent back for procedural Phase One review.
2246	The Secretary appointed another PPTA Advisory Panel to make
2247	recommendations to the DRPT Director on whether and how to negotiate a
2248	comprehensive agreement with Dulles Transit Partners.
2249	402. On December 5, 2002, this new panel issued its final
2250	recommendations to the Director of the DRPT to proceed with the project and to

2251	negotiate a comprehensive agreement with Dulles Transit Partners. This decision
2252	was made in spite of the glaring procedural flaws alleged herein. Nor did the rail
2253	proposal meet the requirements of Virginia Code § 56-506 (C) (2) and (3) that it be
2254	compatible with state and local plans and that the estimated cost be reasonable in
2255	relation to similar transportation facilities.
2256	403. This activity was coincident with the start of the administration of newly
2257	elected Governor Mark Warner, who was in a hurry to stamp his ideas before lame
2258	duck status caught up with him, as it does quickly with every Virginia Governor
2259	(due to the one-term limit).
2260	BRT is much faster completion, economical and "on line" expedient
2261	and is the only properly approved transit plan by the Initial Review Committee
2262	(IRC) under the PPTA's Implementation Guidelines.
2263	The BRT that was initially evaluated was a fixed-guideline project (no
2264	shared use). That was consistent with the process for the FTA's New Starts
2265	program, which, due to the transit lobby, was reserved for expensive, fixed
2266	guideway projects.
2267	Since 2001, it has become apparent with the success of dozens of
2268	shared guideway projects around the world, that fixed guideway for either rail or
2269	bus is an obsolete concept. Because a single congestion managed highway lane can
2270	accommodate the maximum demand for transit with 8% or less of the vehicle
2271	capacity, it has proven possible to finance this guideway by "selling" guaranteed

2272	travel time to	o non-transit vehicles. This effectively delivers the right of way at 60
2273	mph for free	to a transit agency and all other competing users (if the transit agency
2274	does not enjo	by a legislated monopoly for transit users). With congestion managed
2275	fixed lanes, r	not only are they effectively delivered "free" to service providers, they
2276	offer guarant	eed travel time of 45-60 mph, as compared with the 25-30 mph of rail,
2277	either light ra	ail or heavy rail. Furthermore, shared congestion managed guideways
2278	permit a mix	of express and local service.
2279	407.	Even the most expensive and "modern" rail projects in the country—
2280	such as the S	econd Avenue Subway project in New York, at \$1 billion capital cost
2281	per mile—are	e local only. This "best we can do as a practical matter" subway line
2282	will operate a	at only an average speed of 30 mph, given the inevitable acceleration,
2283	deceleration,	and dwell time involved in local train service.
2284	408.	In contrast, the newly delivered express lanes on the rebuilt Katy
2285	Freeway (I-1	0) west of downtown Houston offer bus service at a guaranteed 45
2286	mph, and act	ual speeds can be considerably higher.
2287	409.	None of these better alternatives has been examined by Virginia in its
2288	PPTA proces	ss. Instead, we are stuck with a sole source noncompetitive contractor
2289	(Bechtel) fres	sh from their fiascos at Boston's Big Dig and Portland's light rail
2290	airport extens	sion.
2291	410.	The rail plan, which was rejected by the IRC in the first phase of the
2292	necessary pro	ocess and which was thereafter improperly reincarnated at a later state

2293	of the process, is not the plan approved by the IRC; in fact the IRC <u>rejected</u> it. It
2294	was not even submitted for IRC reconsideration after this initial IRC rejection.
2295	These facts are fatal to the project since the Commonwealth's own project approval
2296	and award procedures necessary to avoid the normal competitive bidding
2297	requirements of the Procurement Act were violated.
2298	The Commonwealth, aided and abetted by the County defendant,
2299	violated the PPTA statute and IG by circumventing the requirements for seeking
2300	competitive proposals by allowing a proposal switch from BRT to rail, and by
2301	approving the detailed plan for the rejected conceptual proposal without either
2302	again soliciting competitive proposals for that project under the Public Procurement
2303	Act, or by recourse to the established procedures that should have been followed
2304	for the new rail proposal and commencing again with Phase One of the
2305	Implementing Guidelines under the PPTA/IG. No action now by defendant County
2306	in furtherance of the rail project is valid or authorized without the Commonwealth's
2307	submission of the rail plan to the full IG process and compliance with its terms.
2308	That means that plan must commence with the Initial Review Committee (IRC),
2309	where there may be public input on the rail proposal, and proceed through each of
2310	the four-stage process.
2311	With the abrogation of its own procedures for adoption of the rail
2312	project, the Commonwealth created substantial doubt in the integrity of its
2313	decisions as it proceeds to impose an enormous burden on its citizens, businesses

2314	and taxpayers. By violating the statutory mandates, and its own rules adopted
2315	pursuant to statute, the people's government became one of men and not of law. Its
2316	actions were unlawful and not to be relied upon by others, including Fairfax County
2317	Board of Supervisors and its administrative agents.
2318	The question arises as to why were the rules not followed? The
2319	answer is that after the IRC approved bus and rejected rail, vigorous lobbying by
2320	vested interests acting outside the formal review process resulted in: (a) the de facto
2321	nullification of the IRC deliberative process and vote; and (b) the political forced
2322	resignation of the Director of the Department of Rail and Public Transit.
2323	These vested interests include self-interested profiteers and developers
2324	such as West Group, WMATA, and WMATA's engineers and consultants who
2325	conducted the feasibility and environmental impact studies which supported the

such as West Group, WMATA, and WMATA's engineers and consultants who conducted the feasibility and environmental impact studies which supported the heavy rail plan sought by those who paid for their supposedly "independent" expert advice. Many of these persons and entities shared offices together on the third floor of 1550 Wilson Blvd. in Rosslyn, Virginia. At minimum, the appearance of conflict forfeited for them any presumption of independent judgment. These closely aligned governmental and private entities concluded, without even studying all alternative and less expensive transportation possibilities, that there is no other reasonable and feasible alternative to heavy rail which satisfies the Dulles Corridor transportation needs. These conclusions are unsupported by any investigation of BRT which, unlike the railroad plan whose first passenger won't be served for

2335	many years, would be an almost immediate and less costly palliative to the
2336	gridlocked commuter. (See Exhibits 3 and 4)
2337	The Tysons Corner promoters benefit from heavy rail in several ways.
2338	The value and use of their private land holdings are favored by the routing of heavy
2339	rail through their developments. Also, WMATA has traditionally severed existing
2340	popular bus routes in order to meet its rail ridership projections. Here, instead of
2341	allowing Dulles Corridor patrons to access the Orange line and downtown D.C.
2342	directly as they do now via the non-stop express Dulles Toll Road which bypasses
2343	Tysons Corner, a train ride would force passengers to exit cars or buses at Wiehle
2344	Avenue, the new Phase One "Western Terminus" of the heavy rail system, and pass
2345	through the center of Tysons Corner and there wait at four time-consuming stops
2346	prior to arriving at West Falls Church. Non-stop bus service will be largely non-
2347	existent. Such forced transfer at Wiehle, necessary to make plausible patronage
2348	projections needed to attract federal funds for the heavy rail scheme, will degrade
2349	the quality of existing transit service to the District of Columbia, Maryland, and
2350	other locations in Northern Virginia. For most transit patrons who do not desire a
2351	Tysons Corner destination, service will take longer and involve more congestion,
2352	more stops, and degraded service.
2353	As alleged, the promoters propose to build rapid rail through "non-
2354	competitive negotiation" by an end run around the formal process adopted by the
2355	Commonwealth pursuant to the PPTA. The PPTA allows an inherent opportunity

2356 to structure much larger construction and management fees paid by the taxpayer such unreasonable fees are more difficult to obtain under the competitive Public 2357 2358 Procurement Act. Therefore, it is even more important the procedures for approval of the process are followed. 2359 The CTB never formally rescinded the decision of the Initial Review 2360 417. Committee, but instead changed direction at the behest of outside lobbyists with 2361 2362 private interests, to proceed on a materially altered project from that approved by the IRC and by Fairfax County agents as will appear hereafter. The Bus Rapid 2363 Transit Demonstration Project morphed into an extension of the WMATA heavy 2364 rail system, at greatly increased cost. Instead of a Bus Rapid Transit system 2365 2366 approved by the IRC costing a few hundred million dollars, the CTB improperly 2367 backed an unlawful scheme costing ten times the capital required for BRT and projected annual operating deficits of over \$100 million by year 2025. The 2368 2369 immensely expensive rail plan will be no greater public benefit than the Bus Rapid Transit plan which is the only plan properly approved by adherence to required 2370 2371 procedures. 2372 418. While the current plan may not in itself be a PPTA, the defective 2373 current plans reflect the improper truncation of proper alternatives analysis and 2374 improper procedure of executive branch behavior prior to the time that MWAA 2375 surfaced. MWAA is not the answer to a plan that was flawed due to failure to 2376 follow proper analytical procedure.

2377	Remedy Requested:
2378	An injunction against further proceedings on the current Dulles Rail plan
2379	until the Commonwealth has properly and fully complied with its requirements under
2380	the state PPTA and its currently adopted implementation guidelines. Among the
2381	concepts to be solicited are proposals for a true PPTA with private entities putting
2382	their own capital at risk (including the cost of operating the completed project). The
2383	PPTA program scope should be opened to shared guideway congestion managed lanes
2384	in conformity with successful projects of this type all around the world. There are now
2385	at least a dozen competent contractors and more than a dozen infranstructure funds
2386	that would provide private capital and a level of sophistication and design excellence
2387	that far surpasses MWAA's.
2388	420. As part of this process, the Commonwealth should insist on open
2389	competitive procurement to the extent that any public money, or assets, other than the
2390	right of way and existing improvements, are involved. The only exception to the
2391	Virginia Public Procurement Act, which mandates competitive bidding, is the Public
2392	Private Partnership Act, which MWAA and VDOT maintain does not apply to this
2393	project.
2394	COUNT XI
2395	VIOLATION OF VIRGINIA CODE §33.1-287 WITH RESPECT TO
2396	THE CESSATION OF TOLLS

2398	(Against MWAA, Virginia Department of Transportation))	
2399	421. That statutory provision required that tolls SHALL cease on projects	
2400	which have been paid for. The only condition for the diversion of such excess	
2401	revenues are if so approved by the General Assembly with respect to a new use, O	R
2402	placed into the Transportation Trust Fund, in which case they would require Gener	al
2403	Assembly authorization to be spent for another purpose.	
2404	422. Route 267 was set up under the provisions of the Revenue Bond Act of	of
2405	the Virginia Code, §33.1-287 et seq. As such, all funds in excess of that required to)
2406	pay off the revenue bonds must be deposited in the Commonwealth Trust Fund, wh	here
2407	the money stays until other use is justified by the General Assembly.	
2408	423. The attempted diversion of excess revenues from Route 267 to prefun	ıd a
2409	rail project simply on the say-so of the Commonwealth Transportation Board (a	
2410	creature of the Executive Branch, whose members are ALL appointed by the sitting	g
2411	Governor) is simply improper. As indicated in the Marshall case, either the Genera	ıl
2412	Assembly must approve a tax, or a majority of elected officials in a local jurisdiction	on
2413	in a duly reported vote, if authority for such action had previously (and specifically	y)
2414	been delegated by the General Assembly, as was attempted in the proposed NVTA	
2415	taxing authorization later disapproved by the Virginia Supreme Court. The only other	her
2416	way to impose a tax is by following the provisions of the Public Finance Act, Va.	
2417	Code §15.2 2607-2611, which requires the approval of the governing body of any	

locality, "subject to the approval of a majority of the qualified voters of the locality voting on the issuance of such bonds ..."

2420 424. Such a diversion of excess revenues by the Executive Branch for a purpose not explicitly approved by the General Assembly violates 33.1-287.

2422 425. The total revenues (stated in thousands) of the Dulles Toll Road from its 2423 inception in 1984 are approximately as follows (the State's reported numbers are not 2424 always consistent):

2425	Year	Revenue	Op and Maint	Net Rev
2426	1985	7,309	102	7,207
2427	1986	11,250	2,170	9.080
2428	1987	13,705	3,861	10,844
2429	1988	15,505	6,452	9,058
2430	1989	17,936	3,480	14,456
2431	1990	21,875	4,725	17,150
2432	1991	20,771	4,782	15,989
2433	1992	20,243	5,791	14,452
2434	1993	22,769	7,179	15,590
2435	1994	24,748	6,917	17,831
2436	1995	27,468	9,647	17,821
2437	1996	28,834	8,748	20,086
2438	1997	32,817	8,391	24,426

2439	1998	34,352	9,503	24,849
2440	1999	35,530	13,230	22,300
2441	2000	41,170	13,525	27,645
2442	2001	41,965	13,530	28,435
2443	2002*	34,916		
2444	2003*	34,605		
2445	2004*	41,176		
2446	2005*	44,805		
2447	2006*	65,439		
2448	2007 es	et 65,000		
2449	2008 est.65,000			
2450	Total op	ening thru fisc	al 2008:	
2451	769,0	00	216,000	
2452	* figu	res for these ye	ears are without miscell	aneous revenue and interest income
2453	2006	6 figures reflec	t a toll increase implem	ented on May 22, 2005.
2454	2007	and 2008 figu	res are expected to be c	lose to 2006 as the number of
2455	transacti	ons has stayed	the same as 2006 (with	in ¼ of 1%) and the fare structure has
2456	not chan	ged.		
2457	426.	The initial	cost of Route 267 was	\$53,000,000. Approximately
2458	\$150,000	0,000 in capital	improvements have be	een spent to widen the road on two
2459	occasion	s.		

2460	427.	Debt service has consum	ed \$135 million from 1985 to 2001. Assuming
2461	a constant rate from 2001 to 2008, another \$78 million in debt service has been		
2462	consumed.		
2463	428.	Summary:	
2464	Sources 19	985-2008:	\$769,000,000
2465	Cost and C	ap Improvement	203,000,000
2466	Debt Service	ee	135,000,000
2467	Operating a	and Maintenance	216,000,000
2468	429.	Surplus of Revenue over	costs
2469	(2008)		\$215,000,000.
2470	430.	Much of the operating and	I maintenance costs could be reduced if tolls
2471	were remov	red from Route 267 as soon	as the financing could have been paid off.
2472	Smart Tag	collection costs are consider	able and could be saved in their entirely.
2473	431.	The rail promoters admit t	hey diverted \$183,000,000 to fund rail
2474	expenses as	of 2008 .	
2475	432.	Since the outstanding total	of revenue bonds outstanding as of 2008 is
2476	only \$65,00	0,000, if the State had opera	ated Route 267 in accordance with the Revenue
2477	Bond Statut	e and the terms of the bond	indentures for the various tranches of
2478	financing, th	ne state could have, and sho	uld have, eliminated all tolls early in the
2479	decade of th	e 2000's.	

2480	133. Instead, the State illegally diverted surplus revenue to uses unauthorized
2481	by the General Assembly, such as heavy rail studies. Neither this diversion, or the
2482	secret switch by the Commonwealth Transportation Board, which is totally under the
2483	control of the Virginia Executive, was done according to applicable law.
2484	434. It is important to recognize that MWAA's rights only derive from
2485	whatever authority or position existed prior to the transfer. Since Virginia was already
2486	in violation of the Revenue Bond act, MWAA acquired no new rights from the
2487	Transfer Agreement than Virginia possessed. Prominent among these non-rights is the
2488	ability either to raise tolls or to avoid the refund of tolls paid in the past in excess of
2489	the amounts required to fund the construction and operation of the Dulles Toll Road
2490	Remedy Requested
2491	An order requiring the refund of all excessive Route 267 revenue beyond
2492	that needed to pay off the construction cost, expansion costs, and financing costs of
2493	the improvements to date. The estimated surplus can be calculated from the figures
2494	above, and add up to about \$400 million:
2495	The appointment of an independent outside auditor to calculate the
2496	proper refund, as occurred with the Northern Virginia Transportation Authority tax
2497	(about \$110 million) and is occurring in Boston with the overcharges on the
2498	Massachusetts Turnpike Extension (see www.tollequitytrust.com for the latest
2499	details.)

2500	437. A declaratory judgment stating that the Permit and Operating
2501	Agreement, as now constituted, as interpreted by MWAA under this scheme,
2502	constitutes, as a matter of constitutional and statutory law, a Terminating Evert under
2503	that Agreement, invalidating the transfer to the Airports Authority of the Dulles Toll
2504	Road, thereby preventing the Airports Authority from financing or constructing the
2505	Dulles Metrorail Project or operating the Dulles Toll Road, except in conformance
2506	with the provisions of the Virginia Revenue Bond Act.
2507	
2508	COUNT XII
2509	LACK OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE LEASE OF THE AIRPORTS IN 1987
2510	IN THAT MWAA HAS NEVER COMPLETED ITS DULLES MASTER PLAN
2511	(Against FAA, MWAA)
2512	Lack of compliance with the lease of the Airports in 1987 in that MWAA
2513	has never completed its Dulles Master Plan. In fact, the delivery of rail service to the
2514	midfield area in front of the existing terminal will adversely affect the operation and
2515	development of another terminal at some future date. Airports have shown a tendency
2516	to construct what are in effect new terminals once a centralized terminal has reached
2517	the 25 million or so annual passenger load which Dulles is nearing.
2518	
2519	439. Article 12.A of the 1987 lease reads as follows:

2520	"Master Plans. The Airports Authority shall assume responsibility for the Federal
2521	Aviation Administration's Master Plans for the Metropolitan Washington Airports.,
2522	including the adoption of the Dulles Master Plan and the completion of a Master Plan
2523	for Washington National Airport, and may revise such Master Plans from time to time
2524	or adopt subsequent Master Plans for the development of the Airports. Major
2525	improvements to the Airports shall be consistent with the most recently adopted
2526	Master Plans.
2527	A properly conceived Master Plan would devote the current midfield in
2528	front of the Saarinen terminal to express parking, as does every other modern airport
2529	in the world. Connections between airport segments would be provided by frequent
2530	and convenient bus service, again as is done by every other major airport in the world
2531	It is apparent from the experience of other airports that building a new
2532	terminal plays havoc with access from a previously fixed infrastructure, such as rail.
2533	Buses are much more flexible. Examples of this are Beijing terminals 1 and 2 and 3
2534	and the five terminals at Heathrow, both of which have crippled their access due to
2535	inadequate advanced planning.
2536	Remedy requested:
2537	An injunction against MWAA's spending of their money for the Dulles
2538	Rail link until it is shown that it complies with a properly studied and properly
2539	adopted Master Plan.

2541	COUNT XIII
2542	VIOLATION OF THE VIRGINIA CONSTITUTION IN THAT THE
2543	DEBT TO BE PROPOSED BY MWAA HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED
2544	BY THE AFFECTED VOTERS
2545	(Against MWAA, Virgnia Department of Transportation)
2546	Article VV, q 10(b) of the Virginia Constitution provides as follows:
2547	"No debt shall be contracted by or on behalf of any county or district
2548	thereof Except by authority conferred by the General Assembly by
2549	general law. The General Assembly shall not authorize any such debt
2550	Unless Provision be made for submission to the qualified voters of the
2551	county or district thereof For approval or rejection by a majority vote of
2552	the qualified voters voting in an election on the question of contracting such
2553	debt. Such approval shall be a prerequisite to contracting such debt.
2554	The Route 267 debt being proposed is in effect a Fairfax County debt,
2555	despite being structured as a revenue bond. The reason is that 95% of the tolls on the
2556	DTR are from local drivers. The County has already indicated its intention to finance
2557	part of the structure, a \$90 million parking garage, solely out of general tax revenues.
2558	The promoters of the rail scheme have advertised the toll securitization
2559	part as a "state share," This is mistalk. The Dulles Toll Road was financed by tolls
2560	paid for by locals, and more than \$800 million of revenue has been collected to pay

- off no more than \$200 million in construction costs. Fairfax County put into the initial construction \$5 million of County money, which has still not been repaid.
- 2563 446. Between the 75% share paid for by taxes on toll road users (obviously a local share) and the 15% proposed to be paid for by the Special Transportation Tax districts, 90% of the cost of the Silver Line will be paid for by Fairfax County workers and residents.
- 2567 447. With megaprojects of this magnitude, throughout the country these
 2568 projects are routinely put to referendum for popular approval. About 75% of the time,
 2569 such projects have been voted down. But the important factor is that this type of
 2570 massive tax increase—the largest in Virginia history, and one borne almost
 2571 exclusively by Fairfax workers and residents—needs popular approval.
- 2572 448. The last major Fairfax County road improvement financed locally was
 2573 the Fairfax County Parkway. There, as here, the politicians thought up a scheme to
 2574 finance the project and bypass voter approval. On appeal to the Virginia Supreme
 2575 Court, the bypass of local voter approval was disapproved. On rehearing, the Supreme
 2576 Court changed its mind.
- 2577 449. The case is reported as <u>Dykes v. Northern Virginia Transportation</u>
 2578 <u>District Commission</u>, 242 Va. 357 (1991).
- 2579 450. The fact pattern here is somewhat different from Dykes and the amounts 2580 are much greater: \$4.5 billion here versus \$330 million in Dykes. Yet the principal is 2581 the same: the voters must approve, both the MWAA debt and the Fairfax County debt.

- 2582 451. Another difference is that in the Fairfax County Parkway case, the
- 2583 General Assembly authorized the financing. Here, the General Assembly has not
- authorized any debt, approved by the locals or not.
- 2585 452. There is nothing in the enabling legislation for MWAA that would
- exempt it from the local approval requirements of the Virginia Constitution, in that
- 2587 collecting tolls after more than enough has been paid to refund all construction bonds
- 2588 constitutes a tax under standard municipal financing law.
- 2589 453. Therefore, under the Virginia Constitution, MWAA is not authorized to
- 2590 issue any debt. Such debt, being issued by a non-exempt entity, (see Va. Code
- §Section 15.2-2600 et. Seq.), must be authorized by the General Assembly, and it has
- 2592 not.
- 2593 454. In view of the strength of the dissents in Dykes, and the Supreme Court's
- 2594 new found appreciation of the limitation of the alleged powers of non-elected groups
- 2595 to impose taxes, as illustrated by the holding in the Marshall case, the Dykes case
- 2596 might well turn out differently today. As it was, the initial reaction of the Supreme
- 2597 Court—that the procedure invented by Fairfax to finance the Fairfax County
- 2598 Parkway—was an illegitimate end run around the Constitution's insistence on local
- 2599 approval.
- 2600 455. Again, the General Assembly has not authorized any debt, to be
- approved by the voters or not.

2602	456. And, the General Assembly has not approved the 2006 transfer of Route							
2603	267 to MWAA without consideration. That was the forfeiture of a valuable state asset,							
2604	paid for by Fairfax County and Fairfax County business and residents, for nothing. As							
2605	argued below, this is also a violation of current federal regulations regarding tolled							
2606	facilities.							
2607	The proposition to be put before the voters is very simple. No rail equals							
2608	no taxes forever (and no future tolls). Rail equals higher taxes forever. That is a							
2609	simple proposition that is well suited to a popular vote.							
2610	Remedy requested:							
2611	A declaratory judgment that no debt may be contracted by Fairfax nor							
2612	MWAA as its de facto representative and agent without "a majority vote of the							
2613	qualified voters voting in an election on the question of contracting such debt."							
2614	459. Also, an injunction against issuance of any Fairfax County or Fairfax							
2615	County agency debt without a prior affirmative vote by qualified voters in Fairfax							
2616	County in accordance with Virginia statutory and constitutional law.							
2617	COUNT XIV							
2618	REVOCATION OF THE TRANSFER OF ROUTE 267 TO MWAA							
2619	WITHOUT QUALIFYING WITH THE LATEST ADMINISTRATIVE							
2620	RULES, CODIFIED AS 23 CFR PARTS 620, 635, 636, AND 710							
2621								
2622	(Against MWAA, FHWA)							

2623	In December of 2008, the Federal Highway Administration required a
2624	market value appraisal for any state reorganization or transfer of authority for
2625	operating public toll roads. The market value process would determine what private
2626	entities would bid for operating the road under a private concession agreement. The
2627	state would be required to charge that market-determined value to the public entity,
2628	regardless of whether this is better for the public. (This summary is taken from
2629	transportation news).
2630	The purpose of this regulation, which is now in effect, is to stop the self
2631	dealing between public agencies, most of which are controlled by the executive
2632	branch of state governments. Despite inbreeding, cronyism, and corruption in insider
2633	trading of public assets there now is mandated a transparent process to make sure the
2634	public is receiving prior compensation for the "reorganization or transfer of authority"
2635	of such facilities as Route 267.
2636	Route 267 is built on federally owned land with the land originally
2637	purchased at public expense by the US government. There is no reason to exempt the
2638	route 267 transfer from the Commonwealth of Virginia to MWAA from this
2639	regulation.
2640	As stated elsewhere, the entire purpose of the MWAA transaction was to
2641	take the state away from its required responsibility to deliver good transportation
2642	infrastructure at an acceptable cost. It was a blatant attempt to pass the buck to an

2643	incompetent organization (MWAA) with no experience in building and operating a							
2644	corridor or urban railway away from airport property.							
2645	464. It was precisely to avoid this self dealing by the Virginia executive							
2646	branch that the regulations were passed. Virginia at the moment is not an elected							
2647	autocracy.							
2648	Remedy requested:							
2649	An injunction against any change in the toll structure or operation of							
2650	Route 267 by MWAA or VDOT unless and until the completion of a market							
2651	validation process that would set the proper price for the transfer from VDOT to							
2652	MWAA of this valuable property.							
2653	COUNT XV							
2654	COMPLIANCE WITH VA CODE §33.1-287 WITH RESPECT TO							
2655	REPAYMENT OF BONDS FROM THE FIRST AVAILABLE							
2656	SURPLUS REVENUES							
2657	(Against MWAA, Commonwealth of Virginia)							
2658	Plaintiffs are owners of several of the outstanding series of revenue							
2659	bonds used to finance Route 267. As such, the court has jurisdiction under Va. Code							
2660	§33.1-290 to enforce the provisions that require that revenue bond receipts not be							
2661	misapplied in direct contravention of Va. Code §33.1-287, and instead be used to pay							
2662	off the bonds as promptly as possible.							
2663								

2664	Remedy Requested:						
2665	467. Immediate repayment of outstanding revenue bonds prior to any other						
2666	expenditure of funds along Route 267 or Tysons Corner.						
2667							
2668	Respectfully submitted,						
2669							
2670							
2671	Dulles Corridor Users Group						
2672	Parkridge 6, LLC						
2673							
2674	By counsel Millian						
2675	Chitch William						
2676	Christopher W. Walker						
2677	DC Bar number 216217						
2678	Virginia Bar number 35491						
2679	10740 Parkridge Boulevard						
2680	Reston, VA 20191						
2681	703 758 3807 (phone)						
2682	703 391 0909 (fax)						
2683	cwalker@commix.com						
2684	Attorney for Plaintiffs Parkridge 6 LLC						

				·	
			•		